Cretaceous Research 109 (2020) 104379



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cretaceous Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/CretRes

Short communication

New fossil remains of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* (Sauropoda: Titanosauriformes) from the Lower Cretaceous of Guangxi, southern China



Jinyou Mo^{a, *}, Jincheng Li^b, Yunchuan Ling^c, Eric Buffetaut^{d, e}, Suravech Suteethorn^e, Varavudh Suteethorn^e, Haiyan Tong^{e, f}, Gilles Cuny^g, Romain Amiot^g, Xing Xu^f

^a Natural History Museum of Guangxi, Nanning, 530012, China

^b Guangxi Institute of Regional Geological Survey, Guilin, 541003, China

^c Fusui Bureau of Natural Resources, Fusui, 532199, China

^d CNRS (UMR 8538), Laboratoire de Géologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL Research University, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231, Paris Cedex 05, France

^e Palaeontological Research and Education Centre, Mahasarakham University, Kantarawichai, Mahasarakham, 44150, Thailand

^f Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, 100044, China

g Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, UMR 5276 LGL-TPE, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 23 August 2019 Received in revised form 31 October 2019 Accepted in revised form 6 January 2020 Available online 9 January 2020

Keywords: Titanosauriformes Early Cretaceous Taphonomy Asia Fusuisaurus zhaoi

ABSTRACT

We describe a large, nearly completely preserved sauropod humerus from the Lower Cretaceous Xinlong Formation of Napai Basin, Fusui County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, southern China. It was excavated from the quarry that produced the holotypic specimen of the titanosauriform *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*. With a preserved length of 183.5 cm, the newly collected humerus is tentatively referred to and supports a giant size of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*, which increases our knowledge of the diversity of giant titanosauriforms from the late Early Cretaceous of Asia.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Lower Cretaceous Xinlong Formation in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous region, which was deposited in a non-marine, fluvial environment, has yielded a diverse assemblage of vertebrates including hybodont sharks (*Hybodus, Heteroptychodus, Khoratodus, Acrorhizodus* and *Thaiodus*), actinopterygians (Halecomorphi and Ginglymodi), turtles (the adocid *Shachemys* and the carettochelyid *Kizylkumenys*), crocodyliforms (cf. *Theriosuchus*) and dinosaurs (the sauropods *Fusuisaurus* and *Liubangosaurus*, carcharodontosaurid and spinosaurid theropods, iguanodontians and a possible psittacosaurid) (Mo et al., 2016).

The holotype of the giant *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*, which comprises about 10% of a complete sauropod skeleton, that of the medium-

E-mail address: jinyoumo@163.com (J. Mo).

sized sauropod Liubangosaurus hei, and some unidentified sauropod bones were excavated in 2001 by a team from the Natural History Museum of Guangxi (NHMG) at the "Longcaoling" quarry, Liubang Village (Mo et al., 2006, 2010). In 2016–2017, a joint team from the NHMG and the Bureau of Natural Resources of Fusui County (BNRF) re-opened the Longcaoling quarry and uncovered nearly 100 disarticulated dinosaur bones within an area of nearly 600 m². All of these newly discovered bones are preserved in its original site. Most of these bones are probably derived from juvenile individuals of hadrosauroid and sauropod dinosaurs, based on the disarticulated conditions of the vertebral centra and neural arches (Brochu, 1996). A large, nearly complete right humerus (183.5 cm in preserved length, obviously belonging to a giant sauropod) was recovered from the fossil-bearing deposit from which the holotype of Fusuisaurus zhaoi was collected and it is positioned close to the latter (25 m), thus we tentatively refer this large humerus (field number LCL 63) to Fusuisaurus based on its size.

^{*} Corresponding author. Natural History Museum of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning 530012, China.

2. Taphonomy

The Longcaoling site is located near the Liubang Village, about 70 km away from Nanning City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (Fig. 1). Dinosaur fossils were first excavated by local farmers in 1960s, but all were lost due to a lack of relevant knowledge. In 2001, the Longcaoling site was first excavated by a team organized by NHMG, and yielded the holotype of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* and of *Liubangosaurus hei*. In 2016–2017, the Longcaoling quarry was reopened by a joint team from NHMG and BRLF, and yielded nearly 100 dinosaur bones, most of which belong to juvenile hadrosauroid and sauropod dinosaurs (Fig. 2).

Two layers of outcrops at the Longcaoling quarry include the fossil bearing deposit and the underlying deposit (the overlying deposit has been cultivated by local farmers). The fossil bearing deposit is exposed on the surface. It exhibits a succession of purplered sandy gravels, fine sandstones, muddy sandstones and sandy mudstones. The layer of sandy gravels has a thickness of 15–60 cm in the northern part of the quarry (where LCL 63 was discovered), while it becomes thinner and finally disappears southward. The underlying deposit exhibits a succession of purple-red, light-green mudstones, siltstones and fine-grained sandstones containing some calcareous concretions. The surface of this layer in the southern area is full of mud cracks. Some mud cracks are nearly 30 cm in depth and 8 cm in width. This indicates that the fossil site had experienced a prolonged dry period before the debris flow occurred and the dinosaurs were buried, compatible with the inferred environment and ecology, characterized by aridity (Amiot et al., 2015).

The underlying deposit is a lakeshore subfacies. It may have been submerged in the rainy season, and exposed to the surface in the low water season, so that mud cracks formed when the lake dried up for a long time. The fossil-bearing deposit was probably formed by a sudden flood carrying gravels, sand, mud, and scattered dinosaur bones. Some dinosaur bones, such as an ulna, pubis, and metacarpal were preserved in a steeply inclined position. The articulated condition of the holotypic *Liubangosaurus* and the semidisarticulated condition of the holotypic *Fusuisaurus* can be attributed to a rapid deposition before they were scattered. Many of the lost dinosaur bones were either displaced before burial or destroyed by the local farmers prior to discovery.

3. Humerus LCL 63 as the referred specimen of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*

As Mo et al. (2010) noted, there were three individuals of sauropods present at the Quarry A (Fig. 2A), a very large one (which pertains to *Fusuisaurus*), and two smaller ones (one of which pertains to *Liubangosaurus hei*, an adult, medium-sized sauropod, and the other is an unnamed, small-sized sauropod), based on the three left ilia present at the Quarry A, measuring 145 cm, 119 cm, and at least 67 cm in length, respectively. In addition, it is certain that there is one giant sauropod (represented by the large humerus LCL 63), and one small, juvenile sauropod dinosaur present at the



Fig. 1. Map showing the fossil locality at Longcaoling quarry of Liubang Village, Shanxu Town, Fusui County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, southern China. Geographic coordinates: 22°21′35.2″ N, 107°57′01.3″ E.



Fig. 2. Taphonomic map of the Longcaoling quarry showing the specimens. A, The Longcaoling quarry excavated in 2001, yielding the holotypes of *Fusuisaurus* (Yellow) and *Liubangosaurus* (Blue), and some unidentified sauropod bones (Black); B, The Longcaoling quarry was re-opened in 2016–2017, yielding nearly 100 dinosaur bones of juvenile hadrosauroids and sauropods, and some bones of adult sauropods, including a large humerus (LCL63), the referred specimen of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*.

Quarry B (Fig. 2B), based on some disarticulated conditions of the vertebral centra and neural arches. Therefore, there are at least three individuals of sauropod dinosaurs present at the Quarry A and B, including a giant one, a medium-sized one, and a small, juvenile one, based the absence of overlapping materials within these three sauropods. *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* was the only giant sauropod recovered from Longcaoling site. Thus, we tentatively refer the humerus LCL 63 (obviously belongs to a giant sauropod) to *F. zhaoi*.

4. Systematic palaeontology

Saurischia Seeley, 1888 Sauropoda Marsh, 1878 Titanosauriformes Salgado, Coria & Calvo, 1997

Fusuisaurus zhaoi Mo, Wang, Huang, Huang & Xu, 2006

Holotype. NHMG 6729, a relatively complete left ilium, a complete left pubis, 3 incomplete articulated anterior caudals, most of dorsal ribs, and distal end of the left femur.

Referred specimen. LCL 63 (field number at "Longcaoling" quarry of Liubang Village), a nearly complete right humerus.

Type locality. Longcaoling quarry, Liubang Village, Shanxu Town, Fusui County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, southern China.

Type horizon. Napai Basin, Xinlong Formation, Lower Cretaceous (possibly Aptian) (Mo et al., 2016).

Emended Diagnosis. A gigantic basal titanosauriform (Mo et al., 2006) or Somphospondyli (Mannion et al., 2013) diagnosed by the following characters: humerus with Slenderness Index 8.55 and Proximal Humeral Robusticity 2.63, humerus with laterally rather than medially expanded deltopectoral crest near the midshaft, anterior dorsal ribs lacking pneumatic foramina, ilium with a prominent, pointed anteroventral process, ilium with a strongly dorsoventrally expanded preacetabular blade, anterior caudal vertebrae with dorsoventrally flattened transverse processes.

Description. The humerus is nearly completely preserved in its original site (Fig. 3A and B), missing only the anterior margins of the distal and proximal parts of the shaft (including the deltopectoral crest), and the distomedial portion. Its preserved length is 183.5 cm, being the longest one for any known sauropod from the Cretaceous (Table 1). The humerus is straight and gracile in anterior view (Fig. 3C), similar to Ligabuesaurus (Bonaparte et al., 2006) and Phuwiangosaurus (Martin et al., 1994, 1999). The SI (Slenderness Index, i.e., ratio of the proximodistal length to the midshaft width) value is substantially greater than those members of Euhelopodidae, an endemic clade from Asia (Mannion et al., 2019), such as Huabeisaurus (Pang and Cheng, 2000), Borealosaurus (You et al., 2004), and Euhelopus (Wilson and Upchurch, 2009), which are 6.1, about 6.0, 5.2, respectively. The proximomedial process is round and robust, pointing dorsomedially, with its apex lying well medial to the midshaft. The proximal end is robust relatively to the midshaft, with its PHR (Proximal Humeral Robusticity, i.e., ratio of proximal to midshaft width) value being about 2.63, only smaller than those of Ruyangosaurus and Notocolossus, and larger than those of other giant Cretaceous sauropods. It is unknown whether the proximolateral corner was round or square due to the erosion of this part. The posterolateral bulge is weakly developed, though this portion was damaged during the excavation. The deltopectoral crest is partly preserved, being double in thickness distally across the anterolateral margin and extends downward to the midshaft, unlike the condition in Ligabuesaurus in which the deltopectoral crest only develop in the proximal third of the humerus. The deltopectoral crest expands slightly laterally, rather than medially near the midshaft, unlike the condition seen in Ligabuesaurus, Borealosaurus and other derived titanosaurian sauropods, such as Saltasaurus (Powell, 1992) and Opisthocoelicaudia (Borsuk-Bialynicka, 1977). Medial to the deltopectoral crest, the anterior margin of the proximal part of the shaft is greatly excavated. The distomedial part of the shaft was eroded during its fossilization. The posterior margin of the humerus is wrapped in the rock, hindering the observation of the detailed structure of this part.

5. Discussion

Fusuisaurus is based on a partial skeleton, including a relatively complete left ilium, a complete left pubis, 3 incomplete articulated anterior caudals, most of the dorsal ribs, and the distal part of the left femur. It is previously assigned to Titanosauriformes (Mo et al.,



Fig. 3. The referred specimen of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi*, a nearly complete right humerus (LCL 63) in anterodistal (A), anteroproximal (B), and anterior (C) views, scale bar equals 50 cm. dpc, deltopectoral crest; lb, lateral bulge.

2006; D'Emic, 2012; Mannion et al., 2013). The newly recovered humerus shows some characters, such as SI value being greater than 7.5, posterolateral bulge weakly developed, deltopectoral crest laterally rather than medially expanded, also suggest that *Fusuisaurus* is a basal member of Titanosauriformes (D'Emic, 2012).

The left ilium in *Fusuisaurus* is 145 cm in length, obviously longer than any other known sauropod ilia. The incomplete left femur is 60 cm in distal width, with a probable restored length of 200 cm. One anterior caudal centrum is about 40 cm in diameter. In addition, one complete posterior dorsal rib measures 230 cm in length. The recently recovered right humerus, the referred specimen of *Fusuisaurus*, is the longest one for any giant sauropod from the Cretaceous (Table 1). These available fossils suggest that *Fusuisaurus* was a gigantic titanosauriform.

It is interesting to calculate the body mass of *Fusuisaurus*. Based on the distal part of the left femur and the newly recovered humerus, the estimated circumferences of the femur and humerus are 795 mm and 605 mm, respectively. Applying the scaling equation for *Fusuisaurus* yields an estimated body mass of 35 tonne (Benson et al., 2014), which is much less than some of titanosaurs, such as *Patagotitan* (69 tonne, Carballido et al., 2017). It can be said that *Fusuisaurus* is very long, but not particularly gigantic (Fig. 4).

Titanosauriformes represent a diverse and globally distributed clade of neosauropod dinosaurs (D'Emic, 2012; Mannion et al., 2013). Although the number of named Asian Titanosauriformes has dramatically increased in the last decade, most of them are represented by medium or large-sized animals (Azuma and Shibata, 2010; Ksepka and Norell, 2006; Li et al., 2014; Lü et al., 2008, 2009a, 2013a, Mo et al., 2008, 2010, 2017; Saegusa and Ikeda, 2014; Wang et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2006; You et al., 2006; You and Li, 2009; Zhang et al., 2009), only *Huanghetitan ruyangensis* (Lü et al., 2007), *Daxiatitan* (You et al., 2008),

-
ь.
.)

Measurement (in mm) of the humerus (LCL 63), compared to those of giant sauropods recovered from Cretaceous. SI, Slenderness Index; PHR, Proximal Humeral Robusticity.

Species	Specimen	Length	Width, proximal	Width, midshaft	PHR	SI	Age	Sources
Fusuisaurus zhaoi	LCL 63	1835	565	215	2.63	8.55	Aptian	This paper
Notocolossus gonzalezparejasi	UNCUYO-LD 301	1760	720	250	2.88	7.04	Coniacian— Santonian	González Riga et al. (2016)
Paralititan stromeri	CGM 81119	1690	562	234	2.4	7.25	Cenomanian	Smith et al. (2001)
Patagotitan mayorum	MPEF-PV 3397	1675	625	245	2.55	6.85	latest Albian	Carballido et al. (2017)
Dreadnoughtus schrani	MPM-PV 1156	1600	740	320	2.31	5.00	Campanian-Maastrichtian	Lacovara et al. (2014)
Futalognkosaurus dukei	MUCPv-323	1560	600	250	2.4	6.25	Coniacian	Calvo (2014)
Ruyangosaurus giganteus	KLR15-08-2	1450	540	200	2.7	7.25	Aptian-Albian	Lü et al., 2014

Yunmenglong (Lü et al., 2013b), *Ruyangosaurus* (Lü et al., 2009b, 2014) are comparable with *Fusuisaurus* in terms of gigantism.

Table 1

Huanghetitan ruyangensis is based on a partial skeleton, including a nearly complete sacrum, 10 proximal caudals, haemal arches, dorsal ribs, and incomplete ischium, from the Lower Cretaceous Haoling Formation (Aptian-Albian, Xu et al., 2012), Ruyang Basin, Henan Province, central China. The anteriormost caudal centrum is 26.9 cm and 32 cm in posterior height and width, respectively, significantly smaller than that of *Fusuisaurus*. However, the longest preserved dorsal rib in *Huanghetitan ruyangensis* is 293 cm in length, substantially larger than any known dorsal rib of *Fusuisaurus*.

Daxiatitan is based on a postcranial skeleton, including the caudalmost 10 cervicals, 10 dorsals, 2 proximal caudals, partial cervical and dorsal ribs, one haemal arch, right scapulocoracoid, and right femur, from the Lower Cretaceous Haoling Formation of the Hekou Group, Gansu Province, northwestern China. The complete right femur measured 57 cm at its distal width, comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*.

Yunmenglong is based on a partial skeleton, including 7 articulated anterior cervicals, 2 isolated posterior cervicals, one dorsal, 4 anterior caudals, and one complete right femur, from the Lower Cretaceous Haoling Formation, Ruyang Basin. The anterior caudal centrum measures 38 cm and 42 cm in its posterior centrum height and width, respectively, comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*. The complete right femur in *Yunmenglong* measures 192 cm and 65 cm in its total length and distal width, respectively, also comparable to that of *Fusuisaurus*.

Ruyangosaurus is known from two skeletons, including 9 cervicals, 13 dorsals, a dorsosacral, articulated sacrum and ilia, 5 midposterior caudals, 7 incomplete cervical and dorsal ribs, a complete right humerus, a complete right femur, the proximal half of the right femur, and a complete right tibia, from the Lower Cretaceous Haoling Formation, Ruyang Basin. The estimated body length of Ruvangosaurus may have exceeded 35 m, with the maximum width of the dorsosacral centrum, the length of the longest cervical centrum, and the length of the right tibia reaching 68 cm, 124 cm, and 127 cm, respectively, being among the largest ones reported for any known sauropods. Its ilium is 130 cm in length, smaller than that of Fusuisaurus. Its incomplete right femur is 60 cm in proximal width, with its estimated length being 207 cm, comparable to that of Fusuisaurus. The complete right humerus of the smaller individual is 145 cm in its preserved length, and the incomplete humerus of the bigger individual is 135 cm and 26 cm in its preserved length and midshaft width, respectively, reaching nearly 190 cm in its reconstructed length, also comparable to that of Fusuisaurus.

Interestingly, the above mentioned five gigantic Asian titanosauriforms are all from the late Early Cretaceous (Aptian—Abian), implying a high diversity of giant Asian titanosauriforms from this period. This raises the possibility that the late Early Cretaceous was a key period for the evolution and diversity of giant titanosauriforms, corresponding to the simultaneous evolution event of giant



Fig. 4. Reconstructed skeleton and body silhouette of *Fusuisaurus zhaoi* showing the preserved holotype and referred specimen (yellow). a, anterior dorsal rib in posterior view; b, left ilium in lateral view; c, left pubis in lateral view; d, anterior caudal in posterior and lateral views; and e, distal part of left femur in anterior view.

Patagonian titanosaurs in the Southern Hemisphere (Carballido et al., 2017).

During the Early Cretaceous, the global temperature was generally warm (Tremolada et al., 2006), with some short periods of cold temperature in some areas (Amiot et al., 2011). Seasonal climatic changes were probably present in the Early Cretaceous (Steuber et al., 2005). On the other hand, warm or seasonal climate may have resulted in the expansion of the angiosperms in continental environments (Sun et al., 2002; Leng et al., 2003). These environmental conditions may have influenced the distribution and evolution of titanosauriforms, the only sauropod clade in the Cretaceous of Asia (Barrett et al., 2002; Barrett and Wang, 2007), especially those gigantic forms from the late Early Cretaceous of Asia.

6. Conclusions

The newly discovered longest Cretaceous humerus from the Xinlong Formation in Napai Basin, Guangxi Province, southern China, is referred to *Fusuisaurus*. The anatomical features in this new specimen, such as SI value being greater than 7.5, posterolateral bulge weakly developed, deltopectoral crest laterally rather than medially expanded near the midshaft, also suggest that *Fusuisaurus* is a basal member of titanosauriform. Combined with other approximately contemporaneous gigantic Asian titanosauriformes, the recovery of the late Early Cretaceous *Fusuisaurus* increases our knowledge of the diversity of giant titanosauriforms by the Early Cretaceous of Asia.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the reviewers Dr. Philip Mannion and Dr. Leonardo Filippi for their constructive comments that greatly helped to improve the manuscript. Thanks also to Duo Xiong, Chaolin Huang, Shaowen Xie, Xueqiang Lei, Qi Zhou from NHMG for their contributions in the field. This research was supported by a fund from Guangxi Department of Natural Resources, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (2016GXNSFAA380009), China; the project PalBioDivASE under a "Groupe de Recherche International" (GDRI) grant from CNRS, the CNRS PICS program n°PICS07193, France; the Mahasarakham University Development Fund and the National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) of the fiscal year 2016, Thailand.

References

- Amiot, R., Wang, X., Zhou, Z.H., Wang, X.L., Buffetaut, E., Lécuyer, C., Ding, Z.L., Fluteau, F., Hibino, T., Kusuhashi, N., Mo, J.Y., Suteethorn, V., Wang, Y., Xu, X., Zhang, F.C., 2011. Oxygen isotopes of East Asian dinosaurs reveal exceptionally cold Early Cretaceous Climates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108, 5179–5183.
- Anices 100, 9175 (2007). Anice State St
- Azuma, Y., Shibata, M., 2010. *Fukuititan nipponensis*, a new titanosauriform sauropod from the Early Cretaceous Tetori Group of Fukui Prefecture, Japan. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 84, 454–462.
- Barrett, P.M., Hasegawa, Y., Manabe, M., Isaji, S., Matsuoka, H., 2002. Sauropod dinosaurs from the Lower Cretaceous of eastern Asia: taxonomic and biogeographical implications. Palaeontology 45, 1197–1217.
- Barrett, P.M., Wang, X.L., 2007. Basal titanosauriform (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) teeth from the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation of Liaoning Province, China. Palaeoworld 16, 265–271.
- Benson, R.B.J., Campione, N.E., Carrano, M.T., Mannion, P.D., Sullivan, C., Upchurch, P., Evans, D.C., 2014. Rates of Dinosaur Body Mass Evolution Indicate 170 Million Years of Sustained Ecological Innovation on the Avian Stem Lineage. PLoS Biology 12 (5), e1001853. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001853.

- Bonaparte, J.F., González Riga, B.J., Apesteguía, S., 2006. Ligabuesaurus leanzai gen. et sp. nov. (Dinosauria, Sauropoda), a new titanosaur from the Lohan Cura Formation (Aptian, Lower Cretaceous) of Neuquén, Patagonia, Argentina. Cretaceous Research 27, 364–376.
- Borsuk-Bialynicka, M., 1977. A new camarasaurid sauropod, *Opisthocoelicaudia skarzynski* gen. n. sp. n. from the Upper Cretaceous of Mongolia. Palaeontologia Polonica 37, 1–64.
- Brochu, C.A., 1996. Closure of neurocentral sutures during crocodilian ontogeny: implications for maturity assessment in fossil archosaurs. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 16, 49–62.
- Calvo, J.O., 2014. New fossil remains of Futalognkosaurus dukei (Sauropoda, Titanosauria) from the Late Cretaceous of Neuquén. In: Cerdeño, E. (Ed.), Argentina in 4th International Palaeontological Congress, The History of Life: A View from the Southern Hemisphere abstract volume, vol. 325. International Palaeontological Association, 2014.
- Carballido, J.L., Pol, D., Otero, A., Cerda, I.A., Salgado, L., Garrido, A.C., Ramezani, J., Cuneo, N.R., Krause, J.M., 2017. A new giant titanosaur sheds light on body mass evolution among sauropod dinosaurs. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 284, 20171219.
- D'Emic, M.D., 2012. The early evolution of titanosauriform sauropod dinosaurs. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 166, 624–671.
- González Riga, B.J., Lamanna, M.C., Ortiz David, L.D., Calvo, J.O., Coria, J.P., 2016. A gigantic new dinosaur from Argentina and the evolution of the sauropod hind foot. Scientific Reports 6, 19165. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19165.
- Ksepka, D.T., Norell, M.A., 2006. Erketu ellisoni, a long-necked sauropod from Bor Guvé (Dornogov Aimag, Mongolia). American Museum Novitates 3508, 1–16. Lacovara, K.J., Lamanna, M.C., Ibiricu, L.M., Poole, J.C., Schroeter, E.R., Ullmann, P.V.,
- Lacovara, K.J., Lamanna, M.C., Ibiricu, L.M., Poole, J.C., Schroeter, E.R., Ullmann, P.V., Voegele, K.K., Boles, Z.M., Carter, A.M., Fowler, E.K., Egerton, V.M., Moyer, A.E., Coughenour, C.L., Schein, J.P., Harris, J.D., Martinez, R.D., Novas, F.E., 2014. A gigantic, exceptionally complete titanosaurian sauropod dinosaur from Southern Patagonia, Argentina. Scientific Reports 4, 6196. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/srep06196.
- Leng, Q., Friis, E.M., 2003. *Sinocarpus decussatus* gen. et sp. nov., a new angiosperm with basally syncarpous fruits from the Yixian Formation of Northeast China. Plant Systematics and Evolution 241, 77–88.
- Li, L.G., Li, D.Q., You, H.L., Dodson, P., 2014. A New Titanosaurian Sauropod from the Hekou Group (Lower Cretaceous) of the Lanzhou-Minhe Basin, Gansu Province, China. PLoS One 9 (1), e85979. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085979.
- Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Pu, H.Y., Zhang, X.L., Zhang, Y.Y., Jia, S.H., Chang, H.L., Zhang, J.M., Wei, X.F., 2013b. A new sauropod dinosaur (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the late Early Cretaceous of the Ruyang Basin (central China). Cretaceous Research 44, 202–213.
- Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Zhang, X.L., Hu, W.Y., Wu, Y.H., Jia, S.H., Ji, Q., 2007. A new gigantic sauropod dinosaur with the deepest known body cavity from the Cretaceous of Asia. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 81 (2), 167–176.
- Lü, J.C., Azuma, Y., Chen, R.J., Zheng, W.J., Jin, X.S., 2008. A New Titanosauriform Sauropod from the Early Late Cretaceous of Dongyang, Zhejiang Province. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 82 (2), 225–235.
- Lü, J.C., Pu, H.Y., Xu, L., Jia, S.H., Zhang, J.M., Shen, C.Z., 2014. Osteology of the giant sauropod dinosaur *Ruyangosaurus giganteus* Lü et al., 2009. Geological Publishing House, Beijing, China, pp. 1–211.
- Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Jiang, X.C., Jia, S.H., Li, M., Yuan, C.X., Zhang, X.L., Ji, Q., 2009a. A preliminary report on the new dinosaurian fauna from the Cretaceous of the Ruyang Basin, Henan Province of central China. Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea 25, 43–56.
- Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Jia, S.H., Zhang, X.L., Zhang, J.M., Yang, L.L., You, H.L., Ji, Q., 2009b. A new gigantic sauropod dinosaur from the Cretaceous of Ruyang, Henan, China. Geological Bulletin of China 28, 1–10.
- Lü, J.C., Yi, L.P., Zhong, H., Wei, X.F., 2013a. A new Somphospondylan Sauropod (Dinosauria, Titanosauriformes) from the Late Cretaceous of Ganzhou, Jiangxi Province of southern China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 87 (3), 678–685.
- Mannion, P.D., Upchurch, P., Barnes, R.N., Mateus, O., 2013. Osteology of the Late Jurassic Portuguese sauropod dinosaur *Lusotitan atalaiensis* (Macronaria) and the evolutionary history of basal titanosauriforms. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 168, 98–206.
- Mannion, P.D., Upchurch, P., Jin, X., Zheng, W., 2019. New information on the Cretaceous sauropod dinosaurs of Zhejiang Province, China: impact on Laurasian titanosauriform phylogeny and biogeography. R. Soc. open sci. 6, 191057.
- Martin, V., Buffetaut, E., Suteethorn, V., 1994. A new genus of sauropod dinosaur from the Sao Khua Formation (Late Jurassic or Early Cretaceous) of northeastern Thailand. Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences de Paris 319, 1085–1092.
- Mo, J.Y., Wang, W., Huang, Z.T., Huang, X., Xu, X., 2006. A Basal Titanosauriform from the Early Cretaceous of Guangxi, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 80 (4), 486–489.
- Mo, J.Y., Huang, C.L., Zhao, Z.R., Wang, W., Xu, X., 2008. A new titanosaur (Dinosauria: Sauropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Guangxi, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 46, 147–156.
- Mo, J.Y., Xu, X., Buffetaut, E., 2010. A new eusauropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Guangxi Province, southern China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 84 (6), 1328–1335.
- Martin, V., Sutetthorn, V., Buffetaut, E., 1999. Description of the type and referred material of *Phuwiangosaurus sirindhorane* Martin, Buffetaut and Suteethorn, 1994, a sauropod from the Lower Cretaceous of Thailand. Oryctos 2, 39–91.

- Mo, J.Y., Buffetaut, E., Tong, H.Y., Amiot, R., Cavin, L., Cuny, G., Suteethorn, V., Suteethorn, S., Jiang, S., 2016. Early Cretaceous vertebrates from the Xinlong Formation of Guangxi (southern China): a review. Geological Magazine 153 (1), 143–159.
- Mo, J.Y., Wang, K.B., Chen, S.Q., Wang, P.Y., Xu, X., 2017. A new titanosaurian sauropod from the Late Cretaceous strata of Shandong Province. Geological Bulletin of China 36 (9), 1501–1505.
- Pang, Q., Cheng, Z., 2000. A new family of sauropod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of Tianzhen, Shanxi Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 74, 117–125.
- Powell, J.E., 1992. Osteología de Saltasaurus loricatus (Sauropoda-Titanosauridae) del Cretácico superior des noroeste Argentino. In: Sanz, J.L., Buscalioni, A.D. (Eds.), Los Dinosaurios y su Entornoe Biotico. Actas del Segundo Curso de Paleontología en Cuenca. Instituto "Juan de Valdes.", Cuenca, Spain, pp. 165–230.
- Saegusa, H., Ikeda, T., 2014. A new titanosauriform sauropod (Dinosauria: Saurischia) from the Lower Cretaceous of Hyogo, Japan. Zootaxa 3848 (1), 1–66.
- Smith, J.B., Lamanna, M.C., Lacovara, K.J., Dodson, P., Smith, J.R., Poole, J.C., Giegengack, R., Attia, Y., 2001. A giant sauropod dinosaur from an Upper Cretaceous mangrove deposit in Egypt. Science 292 (5522), 1704–1706.
- Steuber, T., Rauch, M., Masse, J.P., Graaf, J., Malkoc, M., 2005. Low-latitude seasonality of Cretaceous temperatures in warm and cold episodes. Nature 437, 1341–1344.
- Sun, G., Ji, Q., Dilcher, D.L., Zheng, S.L., Nixon, K.C., Wang, X.F., 2002. Archaefructaceae, a new basal angiosperm family. Science 296, 899–904.
- Tremolada, F., Bornemann, A., Bralower, T.J., Koeberl, C., Schootbrugge, B., 2006. Paleoceanographic changes across the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary: The calcareous phytoplankton response. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 241, 361–371.
- Wang, X.R., You, H.L., Meng, Q.J., Gao, C.L., Cheng, X.D., Liu, J.Y., 2007. Dongbeititan dongi, the first sauropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Jehol Group of

western Liaoning Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 81, 911–916.

- Wilson, J.A., Upchurch, P., 2009. Redescription and reassessment of the phylogenetic affinities of *Euhelopus zdanskyi* (Dinosauria: Sauropoda) from the Early Cretaceous of China. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 7, 199–239.
- Wu, W.H., Dong, Z.M., Sun, Y.W., Li, C.T., Li, T., 2006. A new sauropod dinosaur from the Cretaceous of Jiutai, Jilin, China. Global Geology 25, 6–8.
- Xu, L., Pan, Z.C., Wang, Z.H., Zhang, X.L., Jia, S.H., Lü, J.C., Jiang, B.L., 2012. Discovery and significance of the Cretaceous system in Ruyang Basin, Henan Province. Geological Review 58, 601–613.
- You, H.L., Li, D.Q., Zhou, L.Q., Ji, Q., 2006. *Huanghetitan liujiaxiaensis*, a new sauropod dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Hekou Group of Lanzhou Basin, Gansu Province, China. Geological Review 52 (5), 668–674 (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Xu, X., Zhang, X.H., Tan, Q.W., Zhao, X.J., Tan, L., 2006. A new titanosaurian sauropod from Late Cretaceous of Nei Mongol, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 80, 20–26.
- You, H.L., Ji, Q., Lamanna, M.C., Li, Y., 2004. A titanosaurian sauropod dinosaur with opisthocoelous caudal vertebrae from the early Late Cretaceous of Liaoning Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English edition) 78, 907–911.
- You, H.L., Li, D.Q., Zhou, L.Q., Ji, Q., 2008. Dashatitan binglingi: a giant sauropod dinosaur from the Early Cretaceous of China. Gansu Geology 17 (4), 1–10.
- You, H.L., Li, D.Q., 2009. The first well-preserved Early Cretaceous brachiosaurid dinosaur in Asia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 276, 4077–4082.
- Zhang, X.L, Lü, J.C., Xu, L., Li, J.H., Yang, L., Hu, W.Y., Jia, S.H., Ji, Q., Zhang, C.J., 2009. A New Sauropod Dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous Gaogou Formation of Nanyang, Henan Province. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 83 (2), 212–221.