## Rainer Grün

Quaternary Dating Research Centre, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

## Pei-Hua Huang

Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P.R. China

# Xinzhi Wu

Institute of Vertebrate Palaeontology and Palaeoanthropology, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 643, Beijing 100044, China

## Chris B. Stringer

The Natural History Museum, Department of Palaeontology, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, England

## Alan G. Thorne

Division of Archaeology and Natural History, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

## Malcolm McCulloch

Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

Received 19 December 1995 Revision received 13 August 1996 and accepted 26 August 1996

Keywords: Homo erectus, Zhoukoudian, ESR dating.

# ESR analysis of teeth from the palaeoanthropological site of Zhoukoudian, China

An ESR dating study on teeth collected from layers 3, 6/7 and 10 at Locality 1, Zhoukoudian provides results that are in general agreement with an earlier multi-dating study and confirm an age range of 300–550 ka for the *Homo erectus* remains in the Peking Man Cave. Uncertainties due to U-uptake and the external gamma dose rates do not allow very precise age estimates for the respective layers. © 1997 Academic Press Limited

Journal of Human Evolution (1997) 32, 83-91

## Introduction

Zhoukoudian Locality 1 is a karstic cave site about 50 km southwest of Beijing. The cave lies on the northern slope of Longgu-shan (Dragon Bone Hill) and is developed in Middle Ordovician limestone. The cave is about 48 m high (between 80 and 128 m a.s.l.), 107 m long (Ren *et al.*, 1981) and was filled with sediments. The stratigraphic sequence has been subdivided into 17 layers (Yang *et al.*, 1985) (see Table 1). The cave evolution and the stratigraphic chronology were studied by Huang (1993*a*,*b*, 1995).

The remains of about 40 *H. erectus* individuals and more than 100,000 artefacts have been excavated at this site since excavations started in 1921. The hominids are commonly known as "Peking Man", *Sinanthropus pekinensis*. Two hominid teeth were found at Locality 1 in 1921, followed by a third one in 1927. The first hominid skull-cap (E) was discovered in layer 11 (locus E) in December 1929 (Pei, 1929). In 1936, three skull-caps (LI, LII and LIII) were excavated from layers 8–9 (locus L). A total of five calvaria and other cranial fragments and



Layer	Thick- ness (m)	Lithological description	Depositional character	Previous age estimates (ka)	Loess sequence	Oxygen isotope stages and boundaries (ka)	Magnetic polarity
1	1.5	Brownish yellow breccia with silt	Colluvium		L2	6	
2	1.7	Brownish red silty breccia and travertine	Sedimentation of impound water	$221 \pm 84$ -E(1) $230^{+30}_{-23}$ -U(3)	S2	7	B
3	3.6	Coarse and large breccia with skull cap HIII	Colluvium and collapse of cave walls and roof	$\begin{array}{c} 256 \substack{+ \ 60 \\ - \ 40} \text{-} \text{U}(3) \\ 249 \pm 51 \text{-} \text{U}(4) \\ 282 \pm 59 \text{-} \text{E}(2) \end{array}$	L3	8	K U H N
4	6.9	Coloured ash and clayey silt	Sheet flow and evidence for the use of fire	$\begin{array}{l} 292 \pm 26\text{-}\mathrm{T(8)} \\ 306 \pm 56\text{-}\mathrm{F(6)} \\ 316 \pm 80\text{-}\mathrm{E(2)} \\ 320 \pm 64\text{-}\mathrm{E(2)} \end{array}$	\$3	235	S N O B
5	0.4	Travertine	Chemical precipitation from underground flow		55	- 220	M A L
6	7.1	Fine and coarse breccia and huge blocks	Colluvium and collapse of cave walls and roof	355-U(5) $368 \pm 85-E(2)$	L4	10	E P O
7	1.5	Greyish yellow fine silt	Sedimentation from underground flow	$385 \pm 85$ -E(2) $396 \pm 46$ -E(2)	S4	11	H
8–9	<b>4</b> ·0	Breccia and blocks, skull- caps LI-LIII	Colluvium and collapse of cave walls and roof	$423 \pm 80$ -E(2)	L5	12	
10	0.6	Coloured ash and silty clay	Sheet flow and evidence for the use of fire	$462 \pm 45$ - <b>F</b> (7)	S5a	13	
11	0.8	Greyish brown breccia with skull cap EI	Disintegration of cave wall	$585 \pm 105 - E(2)$	S5bc	14	
12-1	0.9	Brown coarse sand with fine gravels	Sedimentation from underground flow		S5de	15	
12-2	0.6	Brown coarse sand and breccia	Disintegration of cave wall	$669 \pm 84 - E(1)$	L6	16	
13-1	0.3	Reddish brown silty clay	Sheet flow		<b>S</b> 6	17	
13-2	3.0	Breccia	Disintegration of cave wall		L7	18	
13–3	1.5	Brown silty clay	Sheet flow		<b>\$</b> 7	19 785	Bruhnes

 Table 1
 Depositional cycles and ages of the cave deposits of Zhoukoudian

Boundary

Loess sequence: Liu & Ding (1980), Liu (1985), Wang & Sadao (1985), Kukla (1987); Oxygen isotope stage boundaries: Prell et al. (1986), Martinson et al. (1987), Shackleton et al. (1990).

Dating results: U, U-series; T, thermoluminescence; F, fission track; E, electron spin resonance. (1) Preliminary results (Huang *et al.*, 1991*a*); (2) Huang *et al.* (1993*a*); (3) Zhao *et al.* (1985); (4) Yuan & Chen (1980); (5) Xia (1982); (6) Guo (1989); (7) Liu *et al.* (1985); (8) Pei (1985).

teeth were found before 1937 all of which were kept in the Peking Union Medical College. In late 1941, these specimens, along with the rest of the collections were sent from Beijing to be shipped to the U.S. for safety. However, the shipment was lost and the whereabouts of the collection remains unknown.

In 1966, the fragments of a calvarium (HIII) were excavated from layer 3 (locus H). These remains fit replicas of two other fragments that had been excavated in 1934 and 1936 from layer 3 and these pieces together form a virtually complete skull cap. They and some other fragments and teeth found after 1949 are the only remains of Peking Man that are still in China. The history of excavations at Zhoukoudian has been summarized by Wu & Dong (1985).

### Chronology

The problems of dating the sedimentological sequence at Zhoukoudian have been addressed in a multidisciplinary study (Wu *et al.*, 1985). Palaeomagnetic measurements showed that the Bruhnes/Matuyama (B/M) boundary occurred between the 13th and 14th layer (Qian *et al.*, 1985). This means that layers 14–17 are older than 785 ka (Shackleton *et al.*, 1990; Spell & McDougall, 1992). An erosional surface has been observed between layers 15 and 16. However, it is difficult to assess the time span represented by this hiatus as the palaeomagnetic results for layers 14–17 are uniform and no reversal has been observed in this section.

The description of the sedimentology of layers 1–13, as well as the dating results, are summarized in Table 1. The dating methods applied include U-series, fission track and thermoluminescence (TL). U-series dating was applied on fossil bone, teeth and deer antler from layers 2–6 (Xia, 1982; Zhao *et al.*, 1985; Chen & Yuan, 1988; Yuan & Chen, 1991). Fission track ages were determined on fired sphene grains from ash layers from units 4 (Guo 1989) and 10 (Liu *et al.*, 1985). TL was applied on fired quartz grains of layer 4 (Pei, 1985). A further electron spin resonance (ESR) dating study was carried out on tooth enamel using samples from layers 3, 4, 6–9, 11 and 12 (Huang *et al.*, 1993). Further ESR age estimates on a travertine in layer 2 and a rhinoceros tooth from layer 12 have to be regarded as preliminary (Huang *et al.*, 1991*a,b*). Layers 13–17 contained no animal fossils so that no ESR dating studies could be carried out. An ESR dating attempt (not listed in Table 1) was carried out by Ikeya & Miki (1981) and Ikeya (1985) on bone samples from layers 6 and 10, resulting in ages between 200 and 550 ka.

According to the chronological framework summarized in Table 1 and the relationship of the layers with the boundaries of the oxygen isotope record, it is possible to estimate the age of the hominid remains: about 555–520 ka for sample EI from layer 11, 460–415 ka for specimen LI to LIII from layers 8–9, and 295–245 ka for HIII from layer 3 (Huang, 1993*a*).

We decided to complement the earlier ESR dating study by the analysis of horse and bovid teeth from the collection at Zhoukoudian (1067–1071) and two further rhinoceros samples collected by HPH (1139, 1140). The samples relate to layers 3 (1067, 1068), 6/7 (1139) and 10 (1069–1071, 1140). The basic principles of ESR dating have been recently reviewed in detail (Grün, 1989*a*,*b*, 1993; Ikeya, 1993).

#### Experimental

Several subsamples (denoted A, B, C) were separated from each tooth. Dentine and enamel were separated with a dental drill using diamond bits and a surface layer (S1/S2 in Table 2)

was removed from each side of the enamel in order to eliminate the volume that has been irradiated by external alpha rays. Ten aliquots of the enamel were irradiated using a calibrated gamma source with doses of: 0, 109, 198, 368, 927, 1362, 2100, 3608, 4965 and 7147 Gy. The past irradiation dose,  $D_E$ , and the associated errors were determined using the procedures outlined by Grün & Brumby (1994). U and Th analyses were obtained by induced coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and K by flame photometry.

ESR measurements were carried out on a Bruker ECS 106 spectrometer with a 15 kG magnet and a rectangular 4102 ST cavity. The powder samples were recorded with the measurement parameters routinely applied in this laboratory: accumulation of eight scans with 1.015 Gpp modulation amplitude, 10.24 ms conversion factor, 20.48 ms time constant, 2048 bit spectrum resolution (resulting in a total sweep time of 20.972 s), 120 G sweep width and 2 mW microwave power.

One *in situ* gamma measurement was carried out in layer 10 yielding a value of 716  $\mu$ Gy/a. However, this measurement was made in a small gap in the limestone containing very little sediment. It was, therefore, preferred to calculate the external gamma dose rates from the small sediment samples that were still adhering to the teeth. Considering an assumed water content of  $10 \pm 5\%$ , an external gamma dose rate of  $1312 \pm 113 \mu$ Gy/a was obtained for layer 10, 829  $\mu$ Gy/a for layer 6/7 and 711  $\mu$ Gy/a for layer 3. In this study, we applied an  $\alpha$ -efficiency value of 0.25. Although this value is somewhat higher than measured on enamel samples from Europe (0.11–0.15: see Grün & Katzenberger-Apel, 1994), it was obtained repeatedly for Chinese tooth samples (Chen *et al.*, 1994). A water content of  $10 \pm 5\%$  was also used for the calculation of the external beta dose rate. The cosmic dose rates were calculated according to Prescott & Hutton (1988) and the dose rate conversion factors from Nambi & Aitken (1986) were used.

We also carried out mass spectrometric U-series analysis on a speleothem sample from layer 2. Unfortunately, due to the low U-concentration (22 ppb) and significant <sup>232</sup>Th concentrations it was not possible to calculate a meaningful <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>234</sup>U age estimate.

#### **Results and discussion**

Table 2 shows the results of the chemical and ESR analyses, as well as the ESR age calculations for early (EU) and linear (LU) U-uptake (see Ikeya, 1982). Figure 1 shows the ESR age estimates along with the age ranges of the oxygen isotope stages that were assigned to the respective layers.

Unlike the previous ESR studies at Zhoukoudian, we have calculated the ESR age results for both modes, early and linear U-uptake. It can clearly be seen that the average U-concentrations in enamel and dentine increase from the younger to the older units, thus causing a larger discrepancy between the EU and LU age estimates for the older layers. The ESR results for layer 3 are somewhat older than the age assignment to oxygen isotope stage 8 (see Table 1). For layer 6/7 the previous age assignment falls almost exactly between the EU and LU ESR age estimates, and for layer 10 the assignment to stage 13 (460–520 ka) agrees with average age results for the linear U-uptake model ( $504 \pm 43$  ka). As can be seen in Table 2, the external gamma dose rate is only a minor component of the total dose rate. If the *in situ* gamma dose rate of 716 µgGy/a was used in the age calculations, the ages would shift 20% towards older ages, and the previous age assignment would fall between EU and LU values.

				•			)											
								Sedi	iment			Early U	-uptake			Linear U	J-uptake	
Sample No.	$\mathop{\rm D_E}_{(Gy)}$	U(EN) (ppm)	U(DE) (ppm)	(mu) TT	S1/S2 (µm)	U (ppm)	Th (ppm)	K (%)	$\gamma - \dot{D}_{(\mu Gy/a)}$	$\begin{array}{c} \beta -\dot{D} \\ (\mu Gy/a) \end{array}$	int.Ď (µGy/a)	DE-Ď (µGy/a)	Total Ď (µGy/a)	Age (ka)	int.Ď (µGy/a)	DE-Ď (µGy/a)	Total Ď (µGy/a)	Age (ka)
<i>Layer 3</i> 1067A 1067B 1068A 1068B	$336 \pm 13$ $354 \pm 9$ $320 \pm 10$ $331 \pm 13$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.13 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.03 \end{array}$	4-86 2-81 3-68 3-68	900 1000 1550 1850	25 25 25 25	$1 \cdot 40 \\ 1 \cdot 40 \\ 1 \cdot 02 \\ 1 \cdot 02$	8.11 8.11 4.02 4.02	$\begin{array}{c} 1.27 \\ 1.27 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.83 \end{array}$	711 ± 114 711 ± 114 711 ± 114 711 ± 114	$276 \pm 28$ $257 \pm 26$ $117 \pm 11$ $100 \pm 9$	$\begin{array}{c} 49 \pm 7 \\ 94 \pm 15 \\ 30 \pm 4 \\ 25 \pm 4 \end{array}$	$152 \pm 19$ $84 \pm 16$ $85 \pm 10$ $75 \pm 9$	$\begin{array}{c} 1188 \pm 119 \\ 1146 \pm 118 \\ 943 \pm 115 \\ 911 \pm 114 \end{array}$	283 ± 30 309 ± 33 340 ± 43 363 ± 48	$\begin{array}{c} 22 \pm 3 \\ 42 \pm 6 \\ 11 \pm 2 \end{array}$	$70 \pm 9$ 1 $39 \pm 8$ $35 \pm 4$	1079 ± 117 1049 ± 117 880 ± 114 857 ± 114	$312 \pm 36$ $338 \pm 39$ $363 \pm 48$ $386 \pm 54$
Layer 6/. 1139A 1139B 1139B	$^{7}$ 526 ± 26 496 ± 17 477 ± 13	$\begin{array}{c} 0.92 \\ 0.37 \\ 0.16 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       18.0 \\       17.0 \\       16.6 \\     \end{array} $	$2500 \\ 2300 \\ 1800$	25 25 25	1.62 1.62 1.62	6.51 6.51 6.51	$\begin{array}{c}1\cdot40\\1\cdot40\\1\cdot40\\1\cdot40\end{array}$	829 ± 64 829 ± 64 829 ± 64	$126 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 12$ $171 \pm 15$	$665 \pm 92$ $277 \pm 36$ $119 \pm 19$	$275 \pm 31$ $286 \pm 32$ $339 \pm 40$	$\begin{array}{c} 1895 \pm 117 \\ 1528 \pm 81 \\ 1458 \pm 80 \end{array}$	$278 \pm 22$ $325 \pm 21$ $327 \pm 20$	$313 \pm 45$ $128 \pm 17$ $54 \pm 10$	$132 \pm 15$ $136 \pm 15$ $160 \pm 19$	1400 ± 80 1229 ± 69 1214 ± 69	376 ± 28 404 ± 27 393 ± 25
<i>Layer 10</i> 1069A 1069A 1069B 1070A 1070B 1070C 1071B 1071A 1071B 1071B 1071B 1140A 1140A 1140C	$1307 \pm 52$ $1462 \pm 36$ $1403 \pm 35$ $1661 \pm 137$ $1612 \pm 137$ $1145 \pm 43$ $1073 \pm 27$ $1073 \pm 22$ $1050 \pm 29$ $855 \pm 28$ $878 \pm 26$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.87\\ 1.00\\ 0.82\\ 0.98\\ 0.92\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.17\\ 0.17\\ 0.17\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37.1\\ 37.1\\ 37.1\\ 37.7\\ 397.7\\ 397.3\\ 39.2\\ 33.2\\ 37.2\\ 37.2\\ 25.1\\ 25.0\\ 25.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1400\\ 1250\\ 1300\\ 1350\\ 1350\\ 1200\\ 950\\ 22000\\ 22000\\ 22000 \end{array}$	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ - 02 \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} 12\cdot2\\ 12\cdot2\\ 11\cdot4\\ 11\cdot4$ 11\cdot4\\ 11\cdot4	$\begin{array}{c} 2.15\\ 2.15\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.93\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.78\\ 1.55\\ 1.85\\$		$\begin{array}{c} 370\pm 34\\ 404\pm 38\\ 0\pm 0\\ 0\pm 0\\ 331\pm 31\\ 331\pm 31\\ 353\pm 35\\ 353\pm 35\\ 20\pm 0\\ 0\pm 0\\ 0\pm 0\\ 2210\pm 18\\ 2220\pm 19\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 659\pm94\\ 753\pm108\\ 606\pm88\\ 741\pm107\\ 694\pm100\\ 694\pm100\\ 169\pm73\\ 169\pm27\\ 184\pm27\\ 184\pm27\\ 132\pm21\\ 132\pm21\\ 132\pm21\\ 132\pm21\\ 132\pm21\\ \end{array} $	$936 \pm 109$ $1292 \pm 151$ $2131 \pm 176$ $2291 \pm 189$ $1257 \pm 146$ $1257 \pm 134$ $1336 \pm 153$ $1336 \pm 113$ $1334 \pm 120$ $475 \pm 57$ $485 \pm 57$	$3277 \pm 186$ $3761 \pm 220$ $4052 \pm 227$ $4344 \pm 245$ $3510 \pm 207$ $3510 \pm 171$ $2961 \pm 171$ $2961 \pm 171$ $29813 \pm 169$ $2153 \pm 129$ $2153 \pm 129$ $2159 \pm 129$	$399 \pm 28$ $389 \pm 25$ $346 \pm 21$ $382 \pm 38$ $325 \pm 32$ $362 \pm 23$ $367 \pm 24$ $370 \pm 24$ $370 \pm 24$ $397 \pm 27$ $409 \pm 27$	$\begin{array}{c} 332 \pm 44 \\ 359 \pm 51 \\ 360 \pm 52 \\ 794 \pm 43 \\ 794 \pm 23 \\ 794 \pm 23 \\ 794 \pm 12 \\ 794 \pm 12 \\ 794 \pm 12 \\ 117 \pm 16 \\ 61 \pm 10 \\ 61 \pm 10 \\ 61 \pm 10 \\ 61 \pm 10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 450\pm53\\ 625\pm74\\ 480\pm56\\ 8495\pm58\\ 540\pm57\\ 5608\pm71\\ 5500\pm57\\ 2759\pm43\\ 2255\pm26\\ 2236\pm27\\ 230\pm26\\ 230\pm27\\ 230\pm26\\ 230\pm26$ 230\pm26\\ 230\pm26	2444 ± 137 2700 ± 149 2651 ± 149 2651 ± 149 22795 ± 156 22322 ± 145 2254 ± 144 22554 ± 144 22554 ± 144 22554 ± 128 22494 ± 128 1799 ± 117 1819 ± 118 1823 ± 118	535 ± 37 541 ± 33 594 ± 59 550 ± 44 462 ± 30 464 ± 30 464 ± 30 464 ± 30 464 ± 33 464 ± 33 466 ± 33 470 ± 32 470 ± 34 482 ± 34
EN, e	namel; DE,	dentine	; TT, tu	otal en	amel th	hickness	; S1/S;	2, surf.	ace layer rei	noved fro	m each side	e of the enal	nel samples.	Error in $D_1$	after Gri	in & Brun	aby (1994);	beta dose

Table 2 Results of chemical analysis and ESR age estimates for samples from Zhoukoudian

 $^{\circ}$ U = 1.4 ± 0.2 in enamel and dentine; water in sediment 10 ± 5 wgt.% (for beta rate); ICP-MS uncertainties .../n. attenuation after Grün (1986); Alpha efficiency:  $0.25 \pm 0.02$ ; initial " (U, Th): 10%. Flame photometry detection limit (K-SED): 0.05%.



Figure 1. ESR age estimates for tooth samples from Zhoukoudian. The boxes represent the durations of the oxygen isotope stages that have been assigned to the respective layers (see Table 1). No precise depth information was available for the samples. ( $\bullet$ ) LU; ( $\blacktriangle$ ) EU; ( $\Box$ ), expected age range for layers.

This study demonstrates the problems of working with museum specimens where it is difficult to establish the external gamma dose rate from very small sediment samples. For example, layer 10 is a relatively thin unit sandwiched between two breccias. Apart from the fact that the precise position of the teeth with respect to the breccia layers was not recorded, the simulation of the external gamma dose rate from fine grain sediment samples recovered from tooth samples is fraught with large uncertainties when the environment consists of inhomogeneous, coarse grain sediments (Schwarcz, 1994). Unfortunately, we were not able to carry out a detailed gamma spectrometric survey at the site which would require drilling rather large holes into the sediments. It also emphasizes a general problem of ESR dating because it is not possible to postulate the specific mode of uranium uptake for a given site without further U-series analysis on the same teeth (see McDermott et al., 1993; Grün & McDermott, 1994). Usually, the correct age lies between the EU and LU age estimates (Grün & Stringer, 1991), but there are also cases where a very late U-uptake must have occurred (see e.g. Grün et al., 1988; Grün, 1996). In general, the ESR results do not contradict the previously published multi-dating study (Wu et al., 1985) and confirm that the hominid remains found in layers 3-11 are in the range of about 300-550 ka. Although a more detailed ESR/U-series dating study in conjunction with a detailed gamma spectrometric survey of the site may give more precise age estimates for the various layers, it is unlikely that an increased accuracy can be obtained that would allow correlation of specific oxygen isotope stages to a particular sedimentological unit beyond stage 8 (corresponding to layer 3).

Tighter age constraints can be expected from mass spectrometric U-series analyses if speleothem samples from layers 2 and 5 as well as matrix samples from other layers are analysed using isochrone techniques. This was recently successfully applied to matrix samples recovered from the Singa hominid (McDermott *et al.*, 1996).

The age estimates confirm the long-time span of the hominid deposits in the Peking Man Cave, and are somewhat older than previous estimates. In terms of human evolution, the older Zhoukoudian *H. erectus* samples are penecontemporaneous with fossils from western Eurasia

and Africa assigned by some to late *H. erectus* or to *Homo heidelbergensis*, while the youngest material may date from a time when the Neanderthals were already beginning to differentiate in Europe (Stringer & Gamble, 1993). These age estimates are broadly consistent with correlations with Eurasian mammalian faunas spanning Cromerian to Holsteinian stages but further contradict Aigner's long-held view (e.g. Aigner, 1987) that the hominid deposits represent only one interglacial phase.

#### Conclusion

The ESR age estimates presented in this study confirm the results of the previous multidating study (Wu *et al.*, 1985). They indicate that *H. erectus* occupied the Peking Man Cave at Zhoukoudian in the range of about 300,000-550,000 years.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr T. Prior, CSIRO, Canberra, for use of the gamma source and L. Taylor, QDRC, for irradiating the samples and carrying out the elemental analyses. This study was supported by the Exchange program between the Australian Academy of Sciences and the Academia Sinica with grants to RG and HPH. The Boise Fund provided travel funds to CBS.

#### References

- Aigner, J. (1987). <sup>18</sup>O correlations and Zhoukoudian locality 1. L'Anthropologie 91, 733-748.
- Chen, T. M., Yang, Q. & Wu, E. (1994). Antiquity of Homo sapiens in China. Nature 368, 55-56.
- Chen, T. M. & Yuan, S. (1988). Uranium-series dating of bones and teeth from Chinese Palaeolithic sites. *Archaeometry* **30**, 59–76.
- Grün, R. (1986). Beta dose attenuation in thin layers. Ancient TL 4, 1-8.
- Grün, R. (1989a). Die ESR-Altersbestimmungsmethode, 132 p. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer.
- Grün, R. (1989b). Electron spin resonance (ESR) dating. Quat. Int. 1, 65-109.
- Grün, R. (1993). Electron spin resonance dating in palaeoanthropology. Evol. Anthropol. 2, 172-181.
- Grün, R. (1996). ESR and U-series analysis of teeth. In (P. Andrews, J. Cook, A. Currant & C. B. Stringer, Eds) The Pleistocene Cave at Westbury-sub-Mendip. Backhuys, Oegstgeest, submitted.
- Grün, R., Huang, P. H., Huang, W., McDermott, F., Stringer, C. B. & Thorne, A. (1996). ESR and U-series analysis of teeth from the palaeoanthropological site of Hexian, Anhui Province, China. *7. hum. Evol.*, submitted.
- Grün, R. & Brumby, S. (1994). The assessment of errors in the past radiation doses extrapolated from ESR/TL dose-response data. *Radiat. Measure.* 23, 307–315.
- Grün, R. & Katzenberger-Apel, O. (1994). An alpha irradiator for ESR dating. Ancient TL 12, 5-38.
- Grün, R. & McDermott, F. (1994). Open system modelling for U-series and ESR dating of teeth. Quat. Geochronol. (QSR) 13, 121-125.
- Grün, R., Schwarcz, H. P. & Chadam, J. M. (1988). ESR dating of tooth enamel: coupled correction for U-uptake and U-series disequilibrium. *Nuclear Tracks* 14, 237–241.
- Grün, R. & Stringer, C. B. (1991). ESR dating and the evolution of modern humans. Archaeometry 33, 153-199.
- Guo, S. L. (1989). Study on the age of layer 4 at Zhoukoudian by the fission track method. Unpublished Chinese Manuscript.
- Huang, P. H. (1993a). Depositional cycles of Peking Man's Cave and correlation with climatic cycles of loess and deep sea cores. Sci. Geol. Sinica 28, 305–311 (in Chinese).
- Huang, P. H. (1993b). The Development Process of Peking Man's Cave and Global Climatic Changes, pp. 43–45. IX International Congress of Speleology, Beijing, Proceedings.
- Huang, P. H. (1995). Evolutional processes and depositional cycles of Peking Man Cave in relation to the living environments of Peking Man. Acta Anthropol. Sinica 14, 101–109 (in Chinese).
- Huang, P. H., Jin, S. Z., Liang, R. Y., Lu, Z. J., Zheng, L. Z., Yuan, Z. X. & Cai, B. X. (1991a). Study of ESR dating for the burial age of the first skull of Peking Man and chronological scale of the site. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 36, 1457–1461 (in Chinese).

- Huang, P. H., Jin, S. Z., Liang, R. Y., Lu, Z. J., Zheng, L. Z., Yuan, Z. X. & Cai, B. X. (1991b). Study of ESR dating for the burial age of the first skull of Peking Man and chronological scale of the cave deposits at Zhoukoudian, site locality 1. Acta Anthropol. Sinica 10, 107–115 (in Chinese).
- Huang, P. H., Jin, S. Z., Peng, Z. C., Liang, R. Y., Lu, Z. J., Wang, Z. R., Chen, J. B. & Yuan, Z. X. (1993). ESR dating of tooth enamel: comparison with U-series, FT and TL dating at the Peking Man site. *Appl. Radiat. Isotopes* 44, 239–242.
- Ikeya, M. (1982). A model of linear uranium accumulation for ESR age of Heidelberg, Mauer, and Tautavel bones. *Jap. J. Appl. Phys.* **21**, L690–L692.
- Ikeya, M. (1985). ESR ages of bones in paleo-anthropology: uranium and fluorine accumulation. In (M. Ikeya & T. Miki, Eds) ESR Dating and Dosimetry, pp. 373–379. Tokyo: Ionics.
- Ikeya, M. (1993). New Applications of Electron Spin Resonance—Dating, Dosimetry and Microscopy. Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong: World Scientific.
- Ikeya, M. & Miki, T. (1981). Archaeological dose of Arago and Choukoutien Materials with electron spin resonance (ESR). In (H. DeLumley & J. Labeyrie, Eds) Absolute Dating and Isotope Analysis in Prehistory—Methods and Limits, pp. 493–505. Pretirage: Proceedings.
- Kukla, G. (1987). Loess stratigraphy in Central China. Quat. Sci. Rev. 6, 191-219.
- Liu, T. S. & Ding, M. L. (1980). Palaeoclimatic records in loess of China and their reflection of the ancient climate evolution. *Scientific Papers on Geology for International Exchange* 77–82.
- Liu, S. S., Zhang, F., Hu, R. Y., Liu, J. F., Guo, S. L., Zhou, S. H., Meng, W., Zhang, B. F. & Sun, S. F. (1985). Dating the Peking Man site by the fission track method. In (R. K. Wu, M. E. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Z. G. Yang, C. K. Hu, Z. C. Kong, Y. Y. Xie & S. S. Zhao, Eds) *Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian*, pp. 241–245. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Liu, Z. C. (1985). Sequence of sediments at Locality 1 in Zhoukoudian and correlation with loess stratigraphy in Northern China and the chronology of deep-sea cores. *Quat. Res.* 23, 139–153.
- McDermott, F., Grün, R., Stringer, C. B. & Hawkesworth, C. J. (1993). Mass-spectrometric U-series dates for Israeli Neanderthal/early modern hominid sites. *Nature* 363, 252–255.
- McDermott, F., Stringer, C., Grün, R., Williams, C. T., Din, V. K. & Hawkesworth, C. J. (1996). New Mid-Pleistocene uranium-thorium and ESR dates for the Singa hominid (Sudan). *J. hum. Evol.* **31**, 507–516.
- Martinson, D. G., Pisias, N. G., Hays, J. D., Imbrie, J., Moore, T. C. & Shackleton, N. J. (1987). Age dating and the orbital theory of the ice ages: development of a high-resolution 0 to 300,000-year chronostratigraphy. *Quat. Res.* 27, 1–29.
- Nambi, K. S. V. & Aitken, M. J. (1986). Annual dose conversion factors for TL and ESR dating. Archaeometry 28, 202–205.
- Pei, J. X. (1985). Thermoluminescence dating of the Peking Man site and another cave. In (R. K. Wu, M. E. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Z. G. Yang, C. K. Hu, Z. C. Kong, Y. Y. Xie & S. S. Zhao, Eds) Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian, pp. 256–260. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Pei, W. C. (1929). An account of the discovery of an adult Sinanthropus skull in the Choukoutien deposit. Bull. Geol. Soc. China 8, 203–250.
- Prell, W. L., Imbrie, J., Martinson, D. G., Morley, J. J., Pisias, N. G., Shackleton, N. J. & Streeter, H. F. (1986). Graphic correlation of oxygen isotope stratigraphy application to the Late Quaternary. *Paleoceanography* 1, 137–162.
- Prescott, J. R. & Hutton, J. T. (1988). Cosmic ray and gamma ray dosimetry for TL and ESR. Nuclear Tracks Radiat. Measure. 14, 223–227.
- Qian, F., Zhang, J. X. & Yin, W. D. (1985). Magnetic stratigraphy from the sediment of the west wall and test pit of Locality 1 at Zhoukoudian. In (R. K. Wu, M. E. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Z. G. Yang, C. K. Hu, Z. C. Kong, Y. Y. Xie & S. S. Zhao, Eds) *Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian*, pp. 251–254. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Ren, M. E., Liu, Z. C., Jin, J. L., Deng, X. Y., Wang, F. Y., Pen, B. Q., Wang, X. Y. & Wang, Z. H. (1981). Evolution of the limestone cave in relation to the life of early man at Zhoukoudian, Beijing. *Sci. Sinica* 24, 843–850.
- Schwarcz, H. P. (1994). Current challenges to ESR dating. Quat. Geochronol. (Quat. Sci. Rev.) 13, 601-605.
- Shackleton, N. J., Berger, A. & Peltier, W. R. (1990). An alternative astronomical calibration of the lower Pleistocene timescale based on ODP Site 677. Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh: Earth Sci. 81, 251–261.
- Spell, T. L. & McDougall, I. (1992). Revisions to the age of the Brunhes-Matuyama boundary and the Pleistocene geomagnetic polarity timescale. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 19, 1181–1184.
- Stringer, C. B. & Gamble, C. (1993). In Search of the Neanderthals. London: Thames & Hudson.
- Wang, Y. Y. & Sadao, S. (1985). The New Development of Loess Studies in China. Beijing: Shaanxi Press (in Chinese).
- Wu, R. K. & Dong, X. R. (1985). Homo erectus in China. In (R. K. Wu & J. W. Olson, Eds) Palaeoanthropology and Palaeolithic Archaeology in the People's Republic of China, pp. 79–89. Orlando: Academic Press.
- Wu, R. K., Ren, M. E., Zhu, X. M., Yang, Z. G., Hu, C. K., Kong, Z. C., Xie, Y. Y. & Zhao, S. S. (1985). Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Xia, M. (1982). U-series dating of fossil bone of the Peking Man cave at Zhoukoudian. Acta Anthropol. Sinica 1, 191–196 (in Chinese).

- Yang, Z. G., Mou, J. Z., Qian, F., Wang, X. Y., Liu, P. S., Chen, H. L., Yin, W. D. & Wei, X. S. (1985). Study of the Late Cenozoic strata at Zhoukoudian. In (R. K. Wu, M. E. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Z. G. Yang, C. K. Hu, Z. C. Kong, Y. Y. Xie & S. S. Zhao, Eds) *Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian*, pp. 1–87. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).
- Yuan, S. X. & Chen, T. M. (1991). Uranium series dating of bones at Zhoukoudian. Acta Anthropol. Sinica 10, 189–193 (in Chinese).
- Zhao, S. S., Xia, M., Zhang, Z. H., Liu, M. L., Wang, S. X., Wu, Q. F. & Ma, Z. B. (1985). Uranium series dating of the Peking Man site. In (R. K. Wu, M. E. Ren, X. M. Zhu, Z. G. Yang, C. K. Hu, Z. C. Kong, Y. Y. Xie & S. S. Zhao, Eds) *Multi-disciplinary Study of the Peking Man Site at Zhoukoudian*, pp. 246–250. Beijing: Science Press (in Chinese).