Reply to Dyke and Naish: European alvarezsauroids do not change the picture

Dyke and Naish (1) draw attention to three points that they consider to be "serious shortcomings" of our recent paper on a monodactyl nonavian dinosaur (2). Here, we respond to each point in turn.

Our paleobiogeographic hypothesis was based strictly on the phylogenetic tree we recovered (2), which did not include the European alvarezsauroid Heptasteomis because of the extremely fragmentary nature of the known material (3). However, we did include this taxon in a section of our paper (albeit in the SI Appendix) dealing with the biogeography of the Alvarezsauroidea, and even discussed its possible systematic position (2). Because it is probably a derived alvarezsauroid deeply nested within an Asian clade (2), it will not affect our biogeographic hypothesis (at best adding another dispersal event from Asia to Europe after the three dispersal events we proposed). Second, we acknowledge that a node-based definition of Parvicursorinae that would exclude Linhenykus has previously been proposed (4). However, Linhenykus and other recently reported parvicursorines, such as Albertonykus (5) and Xixianykus (6), are so morphologically similar to members of this nodebased Parvicursorinae that we believe the most informative option is to treat Parvicursorinae as a stem-based taxon as proposed in our paper, allowing for the inclusion of this genus and other taxa. Finally, our previous study indicates that Linhenykus is clearly different from Parvicursor in a number of features (2, 3). Large pneumatic foramina are present in the middorsal vertebrae of Linhenykus, whereas the dorsal vertebrae lack pleurocoels in *Parvicursor*; *Linhenykus* possesses biconvex dorsal vertebrae, whereas all the preserved dorsal

vertebrae are opisthocoelous in *Parvicursor*; the anterior caudal vertebrae are amphicoelous in *Linhenykus* but procoelous in *Parvicursor*; and the supracetabular crest is prominent anteriorly and convex in dorsal view in *Linhenykus* but appears to be concave in dorsal view in *Parvicursor*. The two taxa also show some proportional differences, such as a much longer metatarsal III in *Linhenykus* than in *Parvicursor*. Furthermore, *Parvicursor* has only been briefly described and a detailed comparison between the known specimens of the two taxa would be likely to reveal even more differences.

Xing Xu^{a,1}, Corwin Sullivan^a, Michael Pittman^b, Jonah N. Choiniere^c, David Hone^a, Paul Upchurch^b, Qingwei Tan^d, Dong Xiao^c, Lin Tan^d, and Fenglu Han^a

^aKey Laboratory of Evolutionary Systematics of Vertebrates, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100044, China; ^bDepartment of Earth Sciences, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; ^cDepartment of Biological Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052; ^dLong Hao Institute of Geology and Paleontology, Hohhot, Nei Mongol 010010, China; and ^cDepartment of Land and Resources, Linhe, Nei Mongol 015000, China

- Dyke GJ, Naish D (2011) What about European alvarezsauroids? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:E147.
- Xu X, et al. (2011) A monodactyl nonavian dinosaur and the complex evolution of the alvarezsauroid hand. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:2338–2342.
- Karhu AA, Rautian AS (1996) A new family of Maniraptora (Dinosauria: Saurischia) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. Paleontol J 30:583–592.
- 4. Choiniere JN, et al. (2010) A basal alvarezsauroid theropod from the early Late Jurassic of Xinjiang, China. *Science* 327:571–574.
- Longrich NR, Currie PJ (2009) Albertonykus borealis, a new alvarezsaur (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Early Maastrichtian of Alberta, Canada: Implications for the systematics and ecology of the Alvarezsauridae. Cretaceous Res 30:239–252.
- Xu X, et al. (2010) A basal parvicursorine (Theropoda: Alvarezsauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of China. Zootaxa 2413:1–19.

Author contributions: X.X. designed research; X.X., C.S., M.P., J.N.C., D.H., P.U., Q.T., D.X., L.T., and F.H. performed research; X.X., C.S., M.P., J.N.C., D.H., P.U., Q.T., D.X., L.T., and F.H. analyzed data; and X.X., C.S., J.N.C, and D.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: xingxu@vip.sina.com.