Cretaceous Research 30 (2009) 551-558

FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cretaceous Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/CretRes

# A new Early Cretaceous salamander (*Regalerpeton weichangensis* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Huajiying Formation of northeastern China

Guilin Zhang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Yuan Wang<sup>a</sup>, Marc E.H. Jones<sup>c</sup>, Susan E. Evans<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Evolutionary Systematics of Vertebrates, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 142 Xi-Zhi-Men-Wai St., Beijing 100044, China

<sup>b</sup> Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19A Yuquan St., Beijing 100039, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, UCL, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 September 2007 Accepted in revised form 12 October 2008 Available online 26 October 2008

Keywords: Salamander Early Cretaceous Huajiying Formation Jehol Biota China Caudate phylogeny

# ABSTRACT

Recent discoveries of well-preserved Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous salamander fossils from China are helping to resolve highly controversial aspects of caudate phylogeny. Here we report on a new Early Cretaceous salamander, *Regalerpeton weichangensis* gen. et sp. nov., from the Huajiying Formation in Hebei Province, China. This specimen is characterized by long, arched vomerine tooth rows running parallel to the maxillary arcade; a long and tapered anterior ramus of the pterygoid that curves anteromedially; an ossified hyobranchium with one pair of hypobranchials and two pairs of ceratobranchials; and scapulocoracoids with greatly expanded, approximately rectangular coracoid components. Phylogenic analysis places *Regalerpeton* as the sister group to a Cryptobranchidae clade including *Chunerpeton*, with *Jeholotriton* and *Pangerpeton* as successive sister taxa.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Salamanders (Caudata) are one of the three living groups of lissamphibians (Duellman and Trueb, 1986), with some researchers proposing Albanerpetontidae as the fourth member (Fox and Naylor, 1982; McGowan and Evans, 1995; Gardner, 2001). The early evolution of caudates is not well known and is based on relatively few Mesozoic fossils (Estes, 1981; Milner, 1988, 2000). Recent discoveries of well-preserved articulated skeletons from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota of China, and associated faunae, have provided important new information relating to the diversification and phylogeny of Mesozoic caudate (Gao and Shubin, 2001, 2003; Wang and Gao, 2003; Wang and Evans, 2006a,b; Wang, 2006; Wang et al., 2008).

To date, six genera and seven species of Mesozoic salamanders have been described from five localities in China, all in the northeastern provinces (Fig. 1). Three of these salamander species are members of the Jehol Biota, which also features feathered dinosaurs and primitive birds (Chang et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2003). *Liaoxitriton zhongjiani* Dong and Wang, 1998 came from the Yixian/Jiufotang Formation at the Shuikouzi locality, Huludao, Liaoning, and

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: zhanggl05@mails.gucas.ac.cn (G. Zhang). Laccotriton subsolanus Gao et al., 1998 and Sinerpeton fengshanensis Gao and Shubin, 2001 are both from the Dadianzi/Xiguavuan Formation at the Paozhanggou locality, Fengning, Hebei, The other four species were recovered from the Daohugou Bed, a horizon lower than the Jehol strata, but of uncertain date (estimates range from Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous, Ren et al., 2002; Zhang, 2002; Wang et al., 2005). Jeholotriton paradoxus Wang, 2000, Chunerpeton tianyiensis Gao and Shubin, 2003, and Liaoxitriton daohugouensis Wang, 2004a are from the Daohugou locality of Ningcheng, Nei Mongol (Inner Mongolia), and Pangerpeton sinesis Wang and Evans, 2006a is from the Wubaiding locality of Lingyuan, Liaoning. Preliminary phylogenetic analysis suggested a basal position for Pangerpeton and Jeholotriton on the caudate tree (Wang and Evans, 2006a), providing some support for the hypothesis that East Asia was one of the centres for early caudate evolution and adaptive radiation (Gao and Shubin, 2001; Wang, 2006). However, the phylogeny of these Chinese taxa and, indeed, also of living salamanders around the world, have been the subject of sometimes heated debate (Hillis, 1991; Trueb and Cloutier, 1991; Larson and Dimmick, 1993; Hay et al., 1995; Feller and Hedges, 1998; Wiens et al., 2005; Frost et al., 2006). New attempts have been made in recent years with combined molecular and morphological data (Gao and Shubin, 2001) or combined morphological data from fossil and living taxa (Wang and Evans, 2006a), but resulting trees need to be tested by new fossil finds from key geological periods.

<sup>0195-6671/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2008.10.004



Fig. 1. Locality and geological age of Mesozoic salamanders from China. The shaded area shows the range of the "Jehol Province" of China in the 1920s, after which the "Jehol Fauna" was named. 1, Paozhanggou Locality, Fengning County, Hebei Province: *Laccotriton subsolanus, Sinerpeton fengshanensis*, Dadianzi/Xiguayuan Formation, Early Cretaceous; 2, Shuikouzi Locality, Xintaimen Town, Liaoning Province: *Liaoxitriton zhongjiani*, Yixian/Jiufotang Formation, Early Cretaceous; 3, Daohugou Locality, Ning-cheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region: *Chunerpeton tianyiensis, Jeholotriton paradoxus, Liaoxitriton daohugouensis*, Daohugou Bed, Late Jurassic/Early Cretaceous; 4, Wubaiding Locality, Lingyuan City, Liaoning Province: *Chunerpeton tianyiensis*, Pangerpeton sinensis, Daohugou Bed, Late Jurassic/Early Cretaceous; 5, Daobaziliang Locality, Weichang County, Hebei Province, Huajiying Formation, Early Cretaceous.

An Early Cretaceous salamander from Weichang, Hebei Province, northeastern China, is described in this paper. The fossilbearing bed is in the second member of the Huajiying Formation, which overlies the Xiguayuan Formation and underlies the Nandian Formation in the Weichang Basin of northern Hebei (Wang et al., 1977). The deposits of the Huajiying Formation are mainly basic to intermediate lavas, inter-bedded by sedimentary lavers of mudstone, siltstone, sandstone to conglomerate, with abundant tuffs. The Huajiying Formation in Hebei Province is regarded as a lateral equivalent of the Yixian Formation in Liaoning Province (Wang, 1999; Wang et al., 2000), and the latter has a well-accepted isotopic dating of 125 Ma (Swisher et al., 1999, 2002; Wang et al., 2000). Although invertebrate fossils (e.g., bivalves and conchostracans) are abundant in this formation, the salamander represents the first documented vertebrate from this formation, and the first from the Weichang Basin of Hebei Province.

### 2. Systematic paleontology

Class: Amphibia Linnaeus, 1758 Subclass: Lissamphibia Haeckel, 1866 Superorder: Caudata Scopoli, 1777 (usage *sensu* Milner, 1988) Order: Urodela Duméril, 1806 Family indet. Genus *Regalerpeton* nov. *Type species: Regalerpeton weichangensis* sp. nov. *Diagnosis.*—As for type and only species (see below) Regalerpeton weichangensis gen. et sp. nov.

Derivation of name. From the Latin, *regalis*, meaning "royal", and the Greek, *herpeton*, a crawling thing. The fossil locality, Weichang (Chinese Pinyin, meaning "encircled field") was once a royal hunting field during the Qing Dynasty.

*Holotype*. IVPP V14391A, B, an incomplete skeleton with bony impressions preserved as part and counterpart on tuffaceous siltstone. Collections of the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology (IVPP), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.

Type locality. Daobaziliang, Weichang County, Hebei Province.

*Type horizon*. Huajiying Formation (equivalent to the Yixian Formation of the Jehol Group).

*Diagnosis.* An Early Cretaceous salamander differing from other caudates in the following combination of character states: skull wide with round rostrum; alary process of premaxilla moderate in length; parietal with anteromedial extension, about half length of the frontal edge; prefrontal and lacrimal absent; vomerine tooth rows long and parallel to the maxillary arcade; pterygoid with slender, inwardly curved dentate anterior process and a medial process; prearticular/coronoid long, with two processes; angular absent; ossified quadrate present; external gills (including gill rakers) absent; one ossified pair of hypobranchials and two ossified pairs of ceratobranchials present; ribs unicapitate and expanded proximally; transverse process of presacrals half the length of the vertebra; scapulocoracoid greatly expanded proximally; and carpals and tarsals ossified.

Differs from Chunerpeton in the absence of ossified gill rakers and the presence of a greatly expanded proximal end to the scapulocoracoid; resembles Chunerpeton and differs from Jeholotriton and Liaoxitriton in having long and arched vomerine tooth rows running parallel to the maxillary arcade (an anterior tooth patch + a posterior longitudinal dentigerous bar in Jeholotriton; short and transverse vomerine tooth rows in Liaoxitriton). Resembles Chunerpeton and differs from Jeholotriton, Liaoxitriton, Laccotriton, Sinerpeton and Pangerpeton in having a long, curved anterior process of the pterygoid rather than a short one (anteromedially oriented in Jeholotriton and anterolaterally oriented in the others); differs from Jeholotriton, Chunerpeton and Liaoxitriton in retaining three pairs of ossified hyobranchial elements including one pair of hypobrachials and two pairs of ceratobranchials (unossified in Jeholotriton, two pairs of hypobranchials and one basibranchial ossified in Chunerpeton, and one pair of hypobranchials, one pair of ceratobranchials and one ossified basibranchial in Liaoxitriton). Further differs from Chunerpeton, Pangerpeton and Jeholotriton in having ossified carpals and tarsals; differs from all other known Mesozoic salamander genera in the presence of Chunerpeton-type vomerine tooth rows (parallel to the maxillary arcade) combined with a Liaoxitritontype scapulocoracoid (greatly expanded coracoid end).

# 2.1. General features

The salamander specimen (IVPP V14391) is preserved in a yellowish tuffaceous siltstone, mainly as impressions of an incomplete articulated skeleton with some fragmentary bones. The skull is generally articulated, but the midline bones are pushed forward relative to the maxillary/mandibular arcade. The vertebral column is incomplete. Some presacrals are displaced from their original position, and some posterior presacrals, the sacral and all the caudals are missing. The pectoral girdle and the forelimbs are better preserved on the left side, as is the hind limb. The pelvic girdle and most of right hind limb are missing. The individual was postmetamorphic as most bone surfaces are finished (except some, e.g., humerus).

Slab A shows mainly the ventral aspect of the skeleton, and Slab B the dorsal aspect. The description that follows is based on both



**Fig. 2.** Photographs and line drawings of the holotype specimen (IVPP V14391) of *Regalerpeton weichangensis*. A and C, Slab A; B and D, Slab B. Abbreviations: 2nd and 8th, second and eighth presacral; ca, carpal; dt, digit, fe, femur; fi, fibula; hu, humerus; pr, presacral; r, rib; ra, radius; sc, scapulocoracoid; ta, tarsal; ti, tibia.

the specimen and on high-fidelity casts taken from the skeletal impressions (Fig. 2).

#### 2.2. Skull and mandibles

The skull has a short, round rostrum, with relatively standard width/length proportions (=1.23, see Table 1). The premaxillae are clearest on Slab A and are slightly displaced and rotated. Each premaxilla has an alary process that is slightly longer than the width of the dental margin, but details of the process and its relationship to the nasal are not clear. The maxilla is on the posterolateral side of

the premaxilla; it is not very long, and is approximately half the length of the lower jaw. Impressions of teeth are observed on each side of the maxilla and premaxilla. Whether or not they are pedicellate cannot be determined. See Fig. 3.

The dermal roofing bones (nasals, frontals and parietals), line up along the midline of the skull, and are best shown on Slab B. The nasals are compressed anteriorly and partly overlapped the frontals. Whether each nasal contacts the dorsal process of the premaxilla is not clear because of the displacements of the premaxillae and the nasals. The two frontals are slightly displaced to the right of the midline, with the left frontal inserting posteriorly between the two anterior processes of the parietals. The right frontal lies to the right of the orbitosphenoid and shows no contact with the maxilla. The parietals are notable in being slender and making up nearly half of the skull length. Each parietal has a long, slightly tapering anteromedial process. The squamosal as preserved lies above the posterolateral process of the pterygoid and does not meet the posterolateral edge of the parietal. It has an expanded proximal end and a distinct otic ramus, as shown on the right side. The zygomatic ramus connects to an ossified quadrate, as shown on the left side of the skull.

In ventral view, the palate is dominated by a long parasphenoid that extends almost the whole length of the skull. The cultriform process is parallel-sided, separating the two vomers as preserved. It also overlaps the mid-portion of the square-edged orbitosphenoid which is partially exposed in both ventral and dorsal views of the skull. The lateral ala of the parasphenoid is preserved only on the left side, with a flat broken piece that may belong to the same element. The vomers are located just behind the maxillary arch. The vomerine tooth row is relatively long and runs parallel to the maxillary arcade, with its anterior portion close to the maxilla. The triradiate pterygoids, with an additional distinct anteromedial process, have a short and obtuse otic process and a long and dramatically tapering anterior process that is directed toward the end of maxilla. On both sides, the prootics are preserved abutting the pterygoids. The prootic, exoccipital and opisthotic are apparently separate, though this could result from the breakage of the poorly preserved otic and occipital region.

Lying on the posterior part of the parasphenoid, there are three pairs of ossified hyobranchial elements: one pair of hypobranchials and two pairs of ceratobranchials. As preserved, the hypobranchials overlap part of the ceratobranchials. Behind the skull and in the neck region, there is no trace of external gills which are usually preserved as dark impressions as in *Jeholotriton* and *Chunerpeton*. Ossified gill rakers are also absent.

The lower jaw is composed of the dentary anteriorly and the composite prearticular/coronoid posteriorly. The dentary is narrow and gracile, with the composite prearticular/coronoid bone abutting



**Fig. 3.** Outline drawing of the skull of *Regalerpeton weichangensis* superimposed on high fidelity casts, showing ventral (A) and dorsal (B) views. Scales equal to 5 mm. Abbreviations: at, atlas; cb, ceratobranchial; den, dentary; exo, exoccipital; fr, frontal; hb, hypobranchial; man, mandible; max, maxilla; na, nasal; opo, opisthotic; os, orbitosphenoid; p/c, prearticular/coronoid; pa, parietal; pm, premaxilla; pro, prootic; ps, parasphenoid; pt, pterygoid; qua, quadrate; sq, squamosal; vom, vomer.

its inner surface. Neither preserves teeth. As best shown in ventral view (Fig. 3A), the long prearticular/coronoid has a bilobed posterior flange (i.e., having two processes). This is similar to the posterior flange in *Chunerpeton* and *Liaoxitriton*, but neither process is as well-developed in *Regalerpeton*. The angulars are probably absent.

#### 2.3. Postcranial skeleton

Ten amphicoelous presacrals are preserved behind the head, with Presacral III and IV displaced to the left, in front of the wellpreserved left scapulocoracoid (Fig. 2). There is also a dissociated section of vertebral column posterior to the left forelimb with three articulated vertebrae. They may represent Presacrals XI–XIII, but the exact number of presacrals cannot be determined because the sacral and some posterior presacrals are missing. The atlas is roughly the same length as the succeeding vertebrae. The centrum of each vertebra is hour-glass-shaped and the presacral rib-bearers (i.e., the transverse processes) are only about the half length of each vertebra. Ribs are only sporadically preserved, all having an expanded single head.

The pectoral girdle is represented by the paired scapulocoracoids. Each has a greatly expanded, nearly rectangular proximal (coracoid) portion, and a short hatchet-shaped distal (scapular) end.

The humerus (14.06 mm) has expanded proximal and distal heads that are not fully ossified, showing that the animal was a young adult. One displaced bone lying on the right humerus may be the right radius (ca. 9.32 mm), whereas a segment of bone posterior to the radius may represent the broken right ulna. Parts of the left ulna and radius are not preserved. One kidney-shaped element distal to the left humerus may be an ossified carpal. Two right fingers are relatively well-preserved, showing two and three phalanges, respectively. Other elements of the right manus are clustered together posterior to the humerus, but cannot be distinguished so that it is not possible to determine whether or not metacarpal II is expanded as in *Laccotriton, Sinerpeton* and *Liaoxitriton zhongjiani*.

Elements of the pelvic girdle are not preserved, and the hindlimb is only preserved on the left side. The femur is not complete, but a combination of the two slabs provides an estimated femur length of 14.48 mm. The tibia (7.90 mm) is complete, whereas the fibula is broken at the distal end. The tarsals are ossified but their number is not clear due to poor preservation in this area. Four incomplete digits are preserved in the specimen, all on the left pes, but the phalangeal formula is unclear because most of the interphalangeal joints are missing.

The skeletal impression is not surrounded by a soft tissue outline as it is in many Chinese Mesozoic salamanders (e.g., *Pangerpeton, Jeholotriton, Liaoxitriton,* Wang and Evans, 2006a; Wang and Rose, 2005; Wang, 2004a), and the skeleton is incomplete, making it impossible to estimate overall body shape.

# 3. Discussion and phylogenetic analysis

The Weichang salamander is postmetamorphosed as it shows no trace of external gills. This is apparently different from *Jeholotriton* and *Chunerpeton* which are paedomorphic, retaining external gill in the adult stage (Gao and Shubin, 2003; Wang and Rose, 2005). However, the Weichang salamander is generally similar to *Chunerpeton*, a cryptobranchid caudate (Gao and Shubin, 2003) recovered from the Upper Jurassic/Lower Cretaceous Daohugou fossil bed of Inner Mongolia. The skull structure of the new taxon is *Chunerpeton*-like, with long, curved vomerine tooth rows parallel to the maxillary arcade, and a *Chunerpeton*-type toothed anterior process of the pterygoid that is tapered and curved anteromedially.

The possibility that *Regalerpeton* is a metamorphosed form of *Chunerpeton* should be considered. *Regalerpeton* differs from

Chunerpeton in the following aspects: 1) the shape of the scapulocoracoid-in Regalerpeton, the coracoid portion of the scapulocoracoid is greatly expanded and almost rectangular in shape (Fig. 4G), while in Chunerpeton it is only slightly expanded and rhomboid (Fig. 4D). We examined nearly one hundred specimens of Chunerpeton in the IVPP collections, with different ontogenetic stages. *Chunerpeton* shows no significant change in the shape of scapulocoracoid through its development, so the difference should be real; 2) the lack of ossification of gill rakers-Chunerpeton is characterised by the possession of ossified gill rakers in adult and even juvenile specimens (Gao and Shubin, 2003; personal observation on IVPP collections). This feature has not been observed in any other Chinese Mesozoic caudates; 3) the presence of ossified carpals and tarsals; 4) the lack of prefrontals and distinct angulars, whereas Chunerpeton has these elements; 5) the lack of dermal sculptures on the parietals, squamosals, and the dorsal aspect of the pterygoids, contrary to Chunerepton. Although characters 1), 2) and 3) can be influence by ontogeny, 4) and 5) cannot. Further proof for the establishment of the two independent taxa comes from their stratigraphic difference: the Huajiying Formation is equivalent to the Yixian Formation (~125 Ma; Swisher et al., 2002), and higher than the Daohugou fossil bed (no earlier than 159–164 Ma; Wang et al., 2005).

The new caudate also shows several similarities to *Liaoxitriton*, (Wang, 2004a; Liu, 2007) such as the greatly expanded coracoid portion of the scapulocoracoid and the presence of ossified carpals and tarsals. The main differences between the two are in the morphology of skull and hyobranchial elements, where *Liaoxitriton* differs in having short transverse vomerine tooth rows, a stout anterolaterally directed anterior process of the pterygoid, as well as ossified pairs of Hypobranchial II and Ceratobranchial II with an anchor-shaped basibranchial.

*Regalerpeton* differs from *Jeholotriton* and *Pangerpeton* mainly in the morphology of vomerine tooth rows, the pterygoids and the scapulocoracoids. Both *Jeholotriton* and *Pangerpeton* have an anterior tooth patch and a posterior longitudinal toothed bar on the



Fig. 4. General morphology of caudate scapulocoracoids. A, Liaoxitriton zhongjiani (IVPP V14205); B, Liaoxitriton daohugouensis (IVPP V13393, holotype); C, Unnamed taxon from Daohugou (IVPP V14057); D, Chunerpeton tianyiensis (CAGS-IG-02051, holotype; drawn from Gao and Shubin, 2003, Fig. 1); E, Pangerpeton sinensis (IVPP V14244, holotype); F, Jeholotriton paradoxus (IVPP V11984, paratype); G, Regalerpeton weichangensis (IVPP V14391, holotype); H, Laccotriton subsolanus (GMV 1602, holotype, drawn from Gao and Shubin, 2001, Fig. 3); I, Sinerpeton fengshanensis (GMV 1606, holotype, drawn from Gao and Shubin, 2001, Fig. 3). Drawings are based on fossil bones or impressions, and are rescaled to the same width for better comparison.

#### Table 1

Comparative skeletal measurements (in mm) of *Regalerpeton weichangensis* and five other Chinese Mesozoic salamanders (expanded from Table 1, Wang and Evans, 2006a to include dimension of limbs)

	Pangerpeton	Jeholotriton		Chunerpeton		Liaoxitriton zhongjiani		Liaoxitriton daohugouensis		Regalerpeton
	V14424 adult	V12623 adult	V11943A juvenile	V14055 adult	V13374 juvenile	V14205 adult	V14076 juvenile	V13393 adult	V14062 juvenile	V14391 adult
Skull W	13.35	23.50	16.25	37.38	14.30	19.14	12.78	20.60	17.25	22.84
Skull L	7.85	18.05	12.85	28.16	15.20	19.54	11.50	17.55	15.15	18.50
Skull W/L	1.69	1.30	1.27	1.33	0.93	0.98	1.11	1.18	1.14	1.23
Average vertebra L	2.03	3.05	2.33	3.35	2.05	3.08	1.72	3.45	3.28	2.70
Skull W/Vertebra L	6.59	7.7	6.99	11.16	6.98	6.21	7.43	5.97	5.28	6.85
Skull L/Vertebra L	3.88	5.9	5.53	8.41	7.5	6.34	6.69	5.08	4.63	8.46
Humerus L	6.32	8.18	4.98	11.52	5.22	9.02	6.34	10.42	9.84	14.06
Radius L	3.86	4.48	2.66	5.02	2.56	6.04	3.00	5.86	5.46	9.32
HumerusL/Radius L	1.64	1.83	1.87	2.29	2.04	1.49	2.11	1.80	1.80	1.51
Femur L	6.52	6.68	4.40	11.28	5.54	9.42	5.84	11.46	10.98	13.5
Tibia L	3.54	3.90	2.36	5.58	2.68	6.24	3.28	6.88	5.58	7.90
Femur L/Tibia L	1.84	1.71	1.86	2.02	2.66	1.51	1.78	1.67	1.97	1.71

L, length; W, width; All specimens in IVPP collection.

vomer (Wang and Rose, 2005; Wang and Evans, 2006a). *Pangerpeton* has a *Liaoxitriton*-like pterygoid, and *Jeholotriton* has an anteromedially oriented process on the pterygoid, both different from that of the Weichang salamander. The general shape of the scapulocoracoid in each of the three salamanders is also different. *Jeholotriton* has a round coracoid portion and a long scapular bar, whereas *Pangerpeton* has a round expanded coracoid plate as shown in Fig. 4. Furthermore, *Pangerpeton* has an unusually short head and short body (presacral number 14) compared to other known Mesozoic salamanders.

In skeletal proportions (Table 1), the new taxon has skull width/ length (22.84/18.50) proportions that are similar to *Jeholotriton*, *Liaoxitriton daohugouensis* and *Chunerperton*, but differ from those of *Liaoxitriton zhongjiani* and *Pangerpeton*. Moreover, by standardizing skull length in comparison to vertebral length, it is clear that *Regalerpeton* has a relatively longer skull than other Chinese Mesozoic salamanders. However, *Regalerpeton* has a relatively short humerus in proportion to radius length, similar to that of adult *Liaoxitriton zhongjiani*. The hindlimb proportions show no distinguished characters.

Two salamanders, *Laccotriton* and *Sinerpeton*, were previously reported from Hebei Province (Gao and Shubin, 2001). The deposits were originally dated as Late Jurassic, but more recent work suggests an Early Cretaceous age (Wang, 2004a, 2006; Wang and Evans, 2006b). These two small salamanders are similar to *Liaoxitriton* in having a short, transverse vomerine tooth row and a stout, anterolaterally directed anterior process of the pterygoid, as well as similar hyobranchial elements and scapulocoracoids (Fig. 4H,I). Wang (2004b) and Wang and Evans (2006b) proposed that *Liaoxitriton* and *Sinerpeton* might both be junior synonyms of *Laccotriton*, but the Weichang salamander is clearly different from all three in its overall skull morphology (vomerine tooth rows, pterygoids, and hyobranchium).

Based on the above comparisons, the new taxon appears to be distinct from all other Chinese Mesozoic caudates. To further investigate the phylogenetic relationships of the new taxon to other caudates, we used the characters and data matrix of Wang and Evans (2006a), and added codings for *Regalerpeton* (Appendix I). The British Middle Jurassic non-urodele *Marmorerpeton* (Middle–Upper Jurassic, Evans et al., 1988) was the designated outgroup taxon, and *Karaurus* (Late Jurassic, Kazakhstan, Ivakhnenko, 1978; Estes, 1981) was included as a second non-urodele. A Branch-and-Bound complete search using PAUP\* (Swofford, 2001) resulted in 138 most parsimonious trees (TL = 174, CI = 0.5805, RI = 0.5731).

The strict consensus tree failed to resolve the relationships of most ingroup taxa, except that the monophyly of three groups, (*Chunerpeton*-living Cryptobranchidae-*Regalerpeton*), (Dicamptodon-Rhyacotriton) and (Sirenidae-Proteidae) are always recognized. In the 50% Majority Rule Consensus Tree (TL = 184, Fig. 5), *Regalerpeton* is placed as the sister taxon of Cryptobranchidae (including *Chunerpeton*). Five synapomorphies (see legend of Fig. 5) support this clade. *Jeholotriton* and *Pangerpeton* constitute successive sister groups to this clade with less synapomorphic support. This result is different to that in Wang and Evans (2006a), in which *Jeholotriton* and *Pangerpeton* emerged as sister taxa. This arrangement seems relatively robust for *Regalerpeton* and *Jeholotriton*, but there is less support for the placement of *Pangerpeton*, for the clade comprising all taxa to the exclusion of *Marmorerpeton* and *Karaurus*, or for the clade encompassing *Liaoxitriton*, the North American Late Jurassic *Iridotriton* (Evans et al., 2005) and the living Hynobiidae (monophyletic as regarded by Zhang et al., 2006).



**Fig. 5.** Fifty Percent Majority Rule Consensus of 138 most parsimonious trees resulting from an analysis using PAUP\* version 4.0b10 showing a hypothesised phylogeny of caudates (see text for further explanation). Synapomorphies supporting each clade: Clade A: 22(1), 63(0), 66(2); Clade B: 19(3), 37(0); Clade C: 6(1), 9(1), 34(1), 66(1); Clade D (Cryptobranchidae): 13(0), 30(1), 31(1), 32(2); Clade E (Hydobiae): 4(0), 10(1), 22(1), 34(1); Clade F: 2(2), 5(1), 6(1), 17(1), 21(1), 24(3), 26(1), 46(2), 52(1), 54(1), 55(0), 61(2), 66(2), 68(1), 69(1), 70(1), 72(1); Clade G: 6(0), 15(0), 24(2), 65(1); Clade H: 3(2), 9(3), 11(1), 14(0), 19(2), 28(1), 33(2), 34(2), 35(1), 65(1). See codings and character description in Appendices 1 and 2.

The latter clade is palaeobiogeographically interesting, as it would conflict with the long-standing vicariance hypothesis of Milner (1983) that posits a primary dichotomy between Euramerican salamandroids and Asian cryptobranchoids. However, given the relatively weak support, this should be treated with caution until tested by further work. The phylogenetic position of the Early Cretaceous Spanish *Valdotriton* (Evans and Milner, 1996) is essentially unresolved, unlike previous analyses (Gao and Shubin, 2001; Wang and Evans, 2006a).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China/Royal Society of London Joint Research Projects Program (to YW, SEE and MEHJ), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2006CB806405, to YW), the NNSF of China (40302008, to YW) and Talent Training Foundation for National Fundamental Sciences (J0630965, to GLZ). Thanks are due to Prof. Jinling LI and Prof. Xing XU (IVPP) for making the fossil available to us for study, to Prof. John J. Wiens (Stony Brook University) and Prof. Christopher S. Rose (James Madison University) for providing reference and helpful discussions, to Xinzheng LIU (IVPP) for making high resolution peels from the specimen, and to Wei GAO (IVPP) for taking photographs.

#### References

- Chang, M.M., Chen, P.J., Wang, Y.Q., Wang, Y. (Eds.), 2003. The Jehol Biota: The Emergence of Feathered Dinosaurs, Beaked Birds and Flowering Plants. Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, Shanghai, p. 208.
- Dong, Z.M., Wang, Y., 1998. A new urodele (*Liaoxitriton zhongjiani* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Early Cretaceous of western Liaoning Province, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 36, 159–172 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Duellman, W.E., Trueb, L., 1986. Biology of Amphibians. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London, pp. 670.
- Duméril A.M.C., 1806. Zoologie analytique, ou méthode naturelle de classification des animaux. Paris, pp. 344.
- Estes, R., 1981. Gymnophiona, Caudata. In: Wellnhofer, P. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Paleoherpetology, Part 2. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart, New York, p. 115.
- Evans, S.E., Lally, C., Chure, D., Elder, A., Maisano, J., 2005. A Late Jurassic salamander (Amphibia: Caudata) from the Morrison Formation of North America. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 143, 599–616.
- Evans, S.E., Milner, A.R., 1996. A metamorphosed salamander from the Early Cretaceous of Las Hoyas, Spain. Philosophical Transactions of Royal Society of London B 351, 627–646.
- Evans, S.E., Milner, A.R., Mussett, F., 1988. The earliest known salamanders (Amphibia: Caudata): a record from the Middle Jurassic of England. Geobios 21, 539–552.
- Feller, A.E., Hedges, S.B., 1998. Molecular evidence for the early history of living amphibians. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 9, 509–516.
- Fox, R.C., Naylor, B.G., 1982. A reconsideration of the relationships of the fossil amphibian Albanerpeton. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 19, 118–128.
- Frost, D.R., Grant, T., Faivovich, J., Bain, R.H., Haas, A., Haddad, C.F.B., De Sá, R.O., Channing, A., Wilkinson, M., Donnellan, S.C., Raxworthy, C.J., Campbell, J.A., Blotto, B.L., Moler, P., Drewes, R.C., Nussbaum, R.A., Lynch, J.D., Green, D.M., Wheeler, W.C., 2006. The amphibian tree of life. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 297, 1–371.
- Gao, K.Q., Cheng, Z.-W., Xu, X., 1998. First report of a Mesozoic urodele from China. Chinese Geology 1998, 40–41 (in Chinese).
- Gao, K.Q., Shubin, N.H., 2003. Earliest known crown-group salamanders. Nature 422, 424–428.
- Gao, K.Q., Shubin, N., 2001. Late Jurassic salamanders from northern China. Nature 410, 574–577.
- Gardner, J.D., 2001. Monophyly and affinities of albanerpetontid amphibians (Tem-
- nospondyli; Lissamphibia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 131, 309–352. Haeckel, E., 1866. Generelle Morphologie der Organismen. I, Allgemeine Anatomie der Organismen. Georg Reimer, Berlin, pp. 574.
- Hay, J.M., Ruvinsky, I., Hedges, S.B., Maxson, L.R., 1995. Phylogenetic relationships of amphibian families inferred from DNA sequences of mitochondrial 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA genes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 12, 928–937.
- Hillis, D.M., 1991. The phylogeny of amphibians: current knowledge and the role of cytogenetics. In: Green, D.M., Sessions, S.K. (Eds.), Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution. Academic Press, San Diego, London, pp. 7–32.
- Ivakhnenko, M.F., 1978. Caudates from the Triassic and Jurassic of Middle Asia. Paleontologicheskii Zhurnal 3, 84–89 (in Russian).
- Larson, A., Dimmick, W.W., 1993. Phylogenetic relationships of the salamander families: an analysis of congruence among morphological and molecular characters. Herpetological Monographs 7, 77–93.

- Linnaeus, C., 1758. Systema Naturae, Ed. X. (Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata.) Holmiae. pp. 824.
- Liu, J. 2007. First discovery of facultative paedomorphosis in amphibian fossils. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 27, 106a.
- McGowan, G.J., Evans, S.E., 1995. Albanerpetontid amphibians from the Cretaceous of Spain. Nature 373, 143–145.
- Milner, A.R., 1983. The biogeography of salamanders in the Mesozoic and early Caenozoic, a cladistic-vicariance model. In: Sim, R., Price, J., Whalley, P. (Eds.), Evolution, Time and Space, the Emergence of the Biosphere. Systematics Association Special, Vol. 23 Academic Press, New York, pp. 431–468.
- Milner, A.R., 1988. The relationships and origin of living amphibians. In: Benton, M.J. (Ed.), The Phylogeny and Classification of the Tetrapods. Volume 1, Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 59–102.
- Milner, A.R., 2000. Mesozoic and Tertiary Caudata and Albanerpetontidae. In: Heatwole, H., Carroll, R.L. (Eds.), Amphibian Biology, Volume 4, Palaeontology: The Evolutionary History of Amphibians. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Chipping Norton, Australia, pp. 1412–1444.
- Ren, D., Gao, K.Q., Guo, Z., Ji, S., Tan, J., Song, Z., 2002. Stratigraphic division of the Jurassic in the Daohugou area, Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia. Geological Bulletin of China 21, 584–591 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Scopoli, G.A., 1777. Introductio ad historiam naturalem, sistens genera lapidum, plantarum et animalium hactenus detecta, caracteribus essentialibus donata, in tribus divisa, subinde ad leges naturae. Wolfgang Gerle, Prague, pp. 506.
- Swisher III, C.C., Wang, X.L., Zhou, Z.H., Wang, Y.Q., Jin, F., Zhang, J.Y., Xu, X., Zhang, F.C., Wang, Y., 2002. Further Support for a Cretaceous age for the feathered-dinosaur beds of Liaoning, China: New 40Ar/39Ar dating of the Yixian and Tuchengzi Formations. Chinese Science Bulletin 47, 135–138.
- Swisher III, C.C., Wang, Y.Q., Wang, X.L., Xu, X., Wang, Y., 1999. Cretaceous age for the feathered dinosaurs of Liaoning, China. Nature 400, 58–61.
- Swofford, D.L., 2001. PAUP\* (Version 4.0b10 for 32-bit Microsoft Windows). Sinauer Associates, Incorporated, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Trueb, L., Cloutier, R., 1991. A phylogenetic investigation into the inter- and intrarelationships of the Lissamphibia (Amphibia: Temnospondyli). In: Schultze, H.P., Trueb, L. (Eds.), Origins of the Higher Groups of Tetrapods: Controversy and Consensus. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, pp. 175–188.
- Trueb, L., 1993. Patterns of cranial diversity among the Lissamphibia. In: Harken, J., Hall, B.K. (Eds.), The Skull. Patterns of Structural and Systematic Diversity, vol. 2. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 255–343.
- Wang, J.K., Wang, Y.C., Xiao, Z.G., Cai, K.Y., 1977. The Regional Geology Survey in Weichang. 2nd Team of Regional Geology Survey, Hebei Geological Bureau, 204 pp.
- Wang, S.E., 1999. Palaeoecology and palaeoenvironment of the Jehol biota—a palaeoecological and palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of conchstracan palaeocommunities in the northern Hebei-western Liaoning area. Acta Geologica Sinica 73, 289–301. English Edition.
- Wang, X.L., Wang, Y.Q., Zhang, F.C., Zhang, J.Y., Zhou, Z.H., Jin, F., Hu, Y.M., Gu, G., Zhang, H.C., 2000. Vertebrate biostratigraphy of the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation in Lingyuan, western Liaoning and its neighboring southern Nei Mongol (Inner Mongolia), China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 38, 81–99.
- Wang, X.L., Zhou, Z.H., He, H.Y., Jin, F., Wang, Y.Q., Zhang, J.Y., Wang, Y., Xu, X., Zhang, F.C., 2005. Stratigraphy and age of the Daohugou Bed in Ningcheng, Inner Mongolia. Chinese Science Bulletin 50, 2369–2376.
- Wang, Y., 2000. A new salamander (Amphibia: Caudata) from the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 38, 100–103 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang, Y., 2004a. A new Mesozoic caudate (Liaoxitriton daohugouensis sp. nov.) from Inner Mongolia, China. Chinese Science Bulletin 49, 858–860.
- Wang, Y., 2004b. Taxonomy and stratigraphy of late Mesozoic anurans and urodeles from China. Acta Geologica Sinica 78, 1169–1178. English Edition.
- Wang, Y., 2006. Phylogeny and early radiation of Mesozoic lissamphibians from East Asia. In: Rong, J.Y., Fang, Z.J., Zhou, Z.H., Zhan, R.B., Wang, X.D., Yuan, X.L. (Eds.), Originations, Radiations and Biodiversity Changes—Evidence from the Chinese Fossil Record. Science Press, Beijing, pp. 643–663 (in Chinese); 931–936 (in English).

Wang, Y., Evans, S.E., 2006a. A new short-bodied salamander from the Upper Jurassic/Lower Cretaceous of China. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 51, 127–130.

- Wang, Y., Evans, S.E., 2006b. Advances in the study of fossil amphibians and squamates from China: the past fifteen years. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 44, 60–73 (In English with Chinese summary).
- Wang, Y., Gao, K.-Q., 2003. Amphibians. In: Chang, M.-M., Chen, P.-J., Wang, Y.-Q., Wang, Y. (Eds.), The Emergence of Feathered Dinosaurs, Beaked Birds and Flowering Plants. Shanghai Scientific & Technical Publishers, Shanghai, pp. 76– 85. The Jehol Biota.
- Wang, Y., Rose, C.S., 2005. Jeholotriton paradoxus (Amphibia: Caudata) from the Lower Cretaceous of southeastern Inner Mongolia, China. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 25, 523–532.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, G.L., Sun, A.L., 2008. Tetrapoda. In: Li, J.L., Wu, X.C., Zhang, F.C. (Eds.), The Chinese Fossil Reptiles and Their Kin. Science Press, Beijing, pp. 1–25.
- Wiens, J.J., Bonett, R.M., Chippindale, P.T., 2005. Ontogeny discombobulates phylogeny: paedomorphosis and higher-level salamander relationships. Systematic Biology 54, 91–110.
- Zhang, J.F., 2002. Discovery of Daohugou Biota (pre-Jehol Biota) with a discussion on its geological age. Journal of Stratigraphy 26, 173–178 (Chinese 173–177; English 177–178).
- Zhang, P., Chen, Y.Q., Zhou, H., Liu, Y.F., Wang, X.L., Theodore, J.P., David, B.W., Qu, L.H., 2006. Phylogeny, evolution, and biogeography of Asiatic salamanders (Hynobiidae). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103, 7360–7365.

Zhou, Z.H., Barrett, P.M., Hilton, J., 2003. An exceptionally preserved Lower Cretaceous ecosystem. Nature 421, 807–814.

# Appendix 1. Codings based on character descriptions in Appendix 2:

Liaoxitriton

0117071720 0771777130 7170077771 00000007?? 7?7?7?7??? ?????1110 000??2???? ??

Chunerpeton 0107071010 07017?7030 ?1??????1 1201000??? ?????????? ????11111 000??1???? ??

Iridotriton 0000???101 0?01???000 ?1{12}10????2 00??011??? ???1?????? ??????1?1? 10?0?0???? ??

Sirenidae

04(12)1111031 1210101120 ?023010111 1122100?1? ????111110 00000?110-2?22020000 00

Living Hynobiidae

010000(01)001 00(01)1010000 ?120000002 01010?1?0? ????0000(01)0 10001?1110 ??10(01)(02)0000 00

Cryptobranchidae 010101021 00011(01)0000 ?120000011 1211000?0? ????000000 10001?1111

2110110000 00

Ambystomatidae

020011120(01) 0011(01)01000 ?02301100(12) 02010(01)1?0? ????221001 11110?1110 ??1{01}(01)01111 11 Salamandra

0301111201 0011001001 1023111012 0100011201 1111220(01)00 1101021110 {01}010020111 01

Dicamptodon 0200001000 0010001000 1022011002 0102001?0? ????221001 11010?1110 2011000111 ?1

Plethodontidae

(01)2(02)(01)(12)11241 (01)2111010(01)1 ?(01)23(01)11002 00(01)0(01)11?1? ????221110 01010?111(01) (012)?2{01}1(02)0111 11 Rhyacotriton

0200201000 0011001000 ?02201100? 0000011?0? ????221111 01010?1111 ???0000111 11 Karaurus

Amphiumidae 1201111030 0011101000 ?02101011? 0001000?1? ????220000 01000?1110 2120120011 01

Marmorerpeton

0221211130 12101010{23}0 ?020010112 0222111?1? ????22(01)110 11010?111-2?2{01}010111 11

Regalerpeton 0107011717 07017?7030 71777?772 0101077?77 777777777 7777771117 707717?777 77

# Appendix 2. Salamander character list: Amended from Gao and Shubin (2003) and based on Duellman and Trueb (1986), Trueb (1993), Larson and Dimmick (1993). characters 1, 16, 23, 25, 36, 38, 40–45, 53, 56–58, 67 are excluded from real analysis because they are either ambiguous or difficult to code.

1) Premaxillae: separate (0) or fused (1).

- 2) Dorsal process of premaxilla: absent or poorly defined with only partial contact with nasal bone (0); short but well-defined, separating the tips of the nasals or overlapping them dorsally (1); strong posterior extension separating nasals and contacting frontals (2); extension of dorsal process intervenes deeply between the frontals (3); posterior extension of dorsal process contacts frontal lateral to nasal (4).
- 3) Maxilla: present in adults as a normal member of the maxillary arcade (0); greatly reduced as a rudimentary element (1); entirely absent and functionally replaced by a modified vomer (2).
- 4) Septomaxilla: present (0) or absent (1)

- 5) Nasal ossification: paired nasals present with sutural midline contact or fused (0); nasals separate without midline contact (1); nasal absent (2)
- 6) Lacrimal: present (0) or absent (1).
- 7) Quadratojugal: present (0) or absent (1).
  8) Prootic-exoccipital-opisthotic fusion: three separate elements (0); prootic-exoccipital fused, separate opisthotic (1); all three elements fused (2)
- 9) Prerygoid process: triradiate with distinct anterolateral and posterolateral processes (0); with an additional distinct anteromedial process (1); boomerang-shaped with distinct anteromedial and posterolateral processes (2); bar-like/vestigial (3); or absent (4). (Altered from Gao and Shubin with extra states added)
- 10) Internal carotid foramina: present (0) or absent (1).
- 11) Prefrontal: present (0) or absent (1).
- 12) Basilaris complex of inner ear: presence of both recessus basilaris (0); loss of papillae (1); loss of entire basilaris complex (2).
- 13) Angular: angular distinct from the prearticular (0); no distinct angular (absent or fused to prearticular in adult) (1) [altered from Gao and Shubin].
- 14) Coronoid: present as a separate element (0); absent in adult stage (1)
- 15) Articular: present as separate element (0); fused with prearticular (1)
- 16) First hypobranchial and first ceratobranchial: the two elements separate (0); two elements fused (1).
- 17) Ceratobranchial II in adults: present (0); absent (1)
- 18) Premaxillary teeth: present (0); absent (1)
- Palatine dentition: confined to vomer (0); vomer and parasphenoid (1); vomer and palatine (2); vomer, palatine and pterygoid (3). [altered from Gao and Shubin]
- 20) Vertebral centrum: amphicoelous (0); opisthocoelous (1)
- 21) Four facetted articulation of exoccipital and atlas: absent (0), present (1)
- 22) Postatlantal ribs: bicapitate (0); unicapitate (1)
- 23) Atlantal spinal nerve foramen: absent (0); notch (1); fully enclosed (2).[Altered from Gao and Shubin]
- 24) Postatlantal spinal nerve foramina: all postatlantal spinal nerves exit intervertebrally (0); spinal nerve foramina present in some caudal vertebrae (1); foramina present in caudal and sacral vertebrae (2); foramina in trunk, sacral and caudal (3); occasional notch or foramen in posterior presacrals (4).[Altered from Gao and Shubin]
- 25) Stapes: present in adult (0); absent in adult (1)
- 26) Lateral wall of nasal capsule: complete (0); incomplete (1)
- 27) Lateral narial fenestra: absent (0), present (1)
- 28) Posterior wall of nasal capsule: complete (0), incomplete (1)
- 29) Nasolacrimal duct: present (0); absent (1)
- 30) Dermal sculpture on skull roof: present, coarse (0); present, weak (1); absent (2). [Extended from Gao and Shubin to recognise the difference between the coarse sculpture of karaurids and the very light sculpture of some modern taxa.]
- 31) Frontal anterior extension: frontal does not extend lateral to nasal bone (0); does extend to lateral border of nasal (1)
- 32) Medial border of orbit: more than 50% of orbital margin formed by frontal (0); frontal contributes less than 50% of the orbital margin (1); frontal fully excluded from entering orbital margin (2). [Extended from Gao and Shubin.]
- 33) Frontal/maxillary contact: frontal and maxilla separated by prefrontal (0); frontal contacts dorsal process of maxilla (1); contact absent because of loss of maxilla (2)
- 34) Anterolateral process of parietal: absent (0); present but forms less than 50% of the total length of the parietal (1); present and makes up more than 50% of total length of parietal (2). [Extended and clarified from Gao and Shubin.]
- 35) Squamosal orientation in dorsal view: mediolaterally oriented as a transverse bar (0); anteroposteriorly inclined or parallel to skull midline (1)
- 36) Exposure of prootic-exoccipital-opisthotic complex in dorsal view: the complex largely concealed by parietal or exposed posterior to skull table (0); large exposure extends lateral to parietal table (1)
- 37) Squamosal contact with the parietal or other roofing elements: contact present (0); absent (1)
- 38) Origin of m. adductor mandibulae internus superficialis: on dorsolateral surface of parietal (0); origin extends posteriorly to exoccipital (1); origin extends to cervical vertebra (2); origin extends anteriorly towards level of frontal (3).
- 39) Ypsiloid cartilage: present (0); absent (1)
- 40) Fusion of distal carpal 1 + 2: fusion absent (0), present (1)
- 41) Fusion of distal tarsal 1 + 2: fusion absent (0), present (1)
- 42) Number of centralia in manus or pes: more than one central element (0); or one central element (1)
- 43) Intermedium and ulnare: intermedium separate (0); fused to ulnare (1)
- 44) Distal carpal 4 and 5: two elements remain separate (0); fused (1)
- 45) Haploid chromosome number: more than 20 (0); reduced to 19 (1); further reduced to 14 or fewer (2)
- 46) Diploid chromosome number: 56 or more (0); 40-55 (1); lower than 40 (2)
- 47) Microchromosome: present (0); absent (1)
- 48) Ciliated epithelium of female cloaca: present (0); absent (1). [We have returned to the original character of Larson and Dimmick (1993); Gao and Shubin combined characters.]
- 49) Extent of epidermis in female cloacal chamber: epidermal lining does not extend to anterior one half of cloacal chamber (0); or does extend into anterior one-half of chamber (1)
- Male cloacal folds: absent (0); Present (1). [We have used the simpler character of Larson and Dimmick (1993)]

- 51) Anteroventral glands in female: absent (0); present (1). [We have used the simpler character of Larson and Dimmick (1993)]
- 52) Spermathecae in cloaca: absent (0) or present (1)
- 53) Dorsal cloacal glands in female: absent (0); present (1).
- 54) Posteroventral cloacal glands: absent (0); present (1)
- 55) Pubotibialis and puboischiotibialis muscles: separate (0) or fused (1)
- 56) Number of free ribs on anterior caudal vertebrae: more than three pairs (0); two to three pairs (1); free ribs absent (2)
- 57) Ectopterygoid: present (0) or absent (1)
- 58) Postfrontal: present (0) or absent (1)
- 59) Scapulocoracoid ossification: ossified as separate elements (0): fused elements (1)
- 60) Nasal-prefrontal contact; present (0); absent (1) 61) Number of presacrals: 15 or 16 (0); 14 or fewer (1); more than 16 (2)
- 62) Shape of atlas centrum in ventral view: roughly equal in length to postatlantals
- (0), much shorter (1); longer (2)

- 63) Maximum skull length/width: greater than 1.2 (0); 1.19-0.81 (1); 0.8 or less (2). 64) Marginal dentition: pedicellate, conical (0); pedicellate, compressed (1); nonpedicellate (2)
- 65) Operculum: separate, ossified operculum and stapes (0); operculum and stapes fused (1); loss of operculum (2)
- 66) Vomerine dentition: transverse row, medial in the palate (0); marginal, tooth row parallel to premaxilla and maxilla (1); longitudinal row or patch (2) [we used a single coding for longitudinal row or patch because they can be found in different ontogenetic stages of the same individual]
- 67) Male anteroventral glands in cloaca: absent (0); present (1)
- 68) Male posteroventral glands in cloaca: absent (0); present (1)
- 69) Kingsbury's glands in males: absent (0); present (1)
- 70) Dorsal pelvic glands in males: absent (0); present (1) 71) Lateral pelvic glands: absent in males (0); present (1)
- 72) Male dorsal or ventral glands: absent (0); present (1)